arXiv:0805.2640v1 [math-ph] 17 May 2008

ORTHOGONAL TRIGONOMETRIC POLYNOMIALS: RIEMANN-HILBERT ANALYSIS AND RELATIONS WITH OPUC

JINYUAN DU AND ZHIHUA DU

Abstract. In this paper, we study the theory of orthogonal trigonometric polynomials (OTP). We obtain asymptotics of OTP with positive and analytic weight functions by Riemann-Hilbert approach and find they have relations with orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle (OPUC). By the relations and the theory of OPUC, we also get four-terms recurrent formulae, Christoffel-Darboux formula and some properties of zeros for orthogonal trigonometric polynomials.

1. Introduction

The theory of orthogonal polynomials is an important branch of analysis. It is intimately related to many other mathematical and physical branches such as continued fractions, special functions, interpolation, quadrature theory, difference equations, differential equations and integral equations, etc. in mathematics and quantum mechanics and mathematical physics including Schrödinger equations, Painlevé equations, soliton, scattering and inverse scattering theory, etc. in physics. In addition, it also has close connections with combinatorial mathematics and mathematical statistics. Recently, it is extensively applied to random theory mainly including random matrices [3], random walk, random permutation and so on.

Because of intimate connections to quantum mechanics and mathematical physics, the theory of orthogonal polynomials is abundantly developed since the classic literature [30] due to Szegö published for the first time in 1939. In recent years, the investigations have made great progress in research fields and research methods. It is notable that new research fields are ceaselessly extending and new research methods are also continuously appearing. Among others, Riemann-Hilbert analysis for orthogonal polynomials is a nice example which is on the basis of a characterization for orthogonal polynomials in terms of the classical theory of boundary value problems for analytic functions [12, 20, 22], mainly Riemann-Hilbert problems of matrix form combined with the so-called steepest descent method for oscillatory

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42A05; Secondary 42C05.

Key words and phrases. Orthogonal Trigonometric Polynomials; Riemann-Hilbert Approach; Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle; Christoffel-Darboux; Recurrence; Zeros.

This work was carried out by the second author (Z. Du) when he was visiting Free University Berlin from April 11, 2007 to April 10, 2008 on the basis of the State Scholarship Fund Award of China.

The authors were supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China #10471107 and RFDP of Higher Education of China #20060486001.

The second author appreciates the hospitality from Institute of Mathematics, Free University Berlin and dearly expresses his gratitude to Professor H. Begehr for his helpful support and enlighten discussion. The authors also greatly thank Professor Hua Liu for his nice ideas and suggestions.

Riemann-Hilbert problems introduced by Deift and Zhou in [5] and further developed in [6, 4]. Now Riemann-Hilbert approach is a powerful tool for many problems in mathematics and physics.

Historically, the theory of orthogonal polynomials originated from the theory of continued fraction. In fact, it has the roots in attempts to understand and extend the monumental papers of Stieltjes [29]. Continued fractions can be seen as a starting point for the theory of orthogonal polynomials although the theory of continued fractions [14, 15, 32] seemly has fallen out of favor in recent times. This relationship is of great theoretical and practical importance. In general, the theory of orthogonal polynomials can be roughly divided into two main parts, i.e., algebraic and analytic aspects which have many things in common. Just as the names imply, the former has close relations with algebra while the latter are analytical in methods and questions for orthogonal polynomials. Usually, in the analytic theory, general properties are only a smaller part and the greater part is two main and extensively rich branches, i.e., orthogonal polynomials on the real line and on the circle. The richness is due to some special features of the real line and the circle which are not possessed by other orthogonality regions in the complex plane. Classical real orthogonal polynomials can be traced back to the 18th century but their rapid development appeared in the 19th and early 20th century. However, orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle (simply, OPUC) are relatively rather younger. Their existence is largely due to Szegö and Geronimus in the first half of the 20th century. A recently eminent monograph [25, 26] due to Simon systematically summarizes and greatly extends what have happened since then. An excellent survey on orthogonal polynomials of one (real or complex) variable except for OPUC is given by Totik [31] and another good one [13] by Golinskii and Totik is partly on orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle.

The investigations of orthogonal polynomials including on the real line and the unit circle as well general orthogonal polynomials [28] are truly impressive and the literatures for them are greatly abundant. However, orthogonal trigonometric polynomials have been little investigated although this class of orthogonal polynomials are of theoretical and practical importance and play an important role in some problems of approximation theory and others such as quadrature and interpolation [7, 8] and so on. It is the purpose of this paper to obtain some results in this direction.

This is the plan of the present paper.

In Section 2 some definitions, notations and preliminaries are introduced including orthogonal trigonometric polynomials, orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, orthogonal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle and Riemann-Hilbert problems.

The Section 3 and 4 are devoted to Riemann-Hilbert analysis for orthogonal trigonometric polynomials with positive and analytic weight functions to obtain their asymptotics by Riemann-Hilbert approach. More precisely, the theme of Section 3 is the characterization of orthogonal trigonometric polynomials in terms of a matrix valued Riemann-Hilbert boundary value problem and the steepest descent analysis for the characterization by the steepest descent method originally introduced by Deift and Zhou. However, asymptotic analysis for orthogonal trigonometric polynomials is the subject of Section 4, which is on the basis of Section 3.

The main result of Section 5 is that orthogonal trigonometric polynomials and orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle can be mutually represented, which makes them relate to each other in future. As simple consequences, some recurrent formulae, Christoffel-Darboux formula and some properties of zeros are obtained for orthogonal trigonometric polynomials.

2. Some Definitions, Notations and Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the open unit disc in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and μ be a non-trivial (i.e., with infinite support) probability measure on the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D}$. Throughout, by decomposition, we will write

$$d\mu(\tau) = w(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{2\pi i\tau} + d\mu_s(\tau), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\tau \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, $d\mu_s$ is the singular part of $d\mu$ and $w(\tau) = 2\pi i\tau d\mu_{ac}/d\tau$ is called a weight function on the unit circle as $d\mu_s \equiv 0$ on $\partial\mathbb{D}$.

Introduce two kinds of inner product as follows.

$$\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} f(\tau)g(\tau)d\mu(\tau) \tag{2.2}$$

with norm $||f||_{\mathbb{R}} = \left[\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} |f(\tau)|^2 d\mu(\tau)\right]^{1/2}$ for all real valued functions f, g defined on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and

$$\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \overline{f(\tau)}g(\tau)d\mu(\tau) \tag{2.3}$$

with norm $||f||_{\mathbb{C}} = \left[\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} |f(\tau)|^2 d\mu(\tau)\right]^{1/2}$ for all complex valued functions f, g defined on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. Obviously, when f, g are real, the above inner products are all the same. In what follows, we analogously adopt Simon's notations in [25, 26] with some modifications.

2.1. **Orthogonal Trigonometric Polynomials.**

First, we consider the real inner product (2.2). Respectively, let H_1 and H_2 be real spans of the following over $\mathbb{R}$ linearly independent ordered sets

$$\left\{1, \frac{z-z^{-1}}{2i}, \frac{z+z^{-1}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{z^n-z^{-n}}{2i}, \frac{z^n+z^{-n}}{2}, \ldots\right\} \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\left\{1, \frac{z+z^{-1}}{2}, \frac{z-z^{-1}}{2i}, \ldots, \frac{z^n+z^{-n}}{2}, \frac{z^n-z^{-n}}{2i}, \ldots\right\}, \tag{2.5}$$

$H_1^{(n)}$ and $H_2^{(n)}$ denote real spans of the first $n+1$ elements of (2.4) and (2.5) and $P_1^{(n)}$ and $P_2^{(n)}$ be the orthogonal projections on $H_1^{(n)}$ and $H_2^{(n)}$. Obviously, while $z = e^{i\theta}$, (2.4) and (2.5) become the over $\mathbb{R}$ linearly independent ordered trigonometric systems as follows

$$\{1, \sin\theta, \cos\theta, \ldots, \sin n\theta, \cos n\theta, \ldots\} \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\{1, \cos\theta, \sin\theta, \ldots, \cos n\theta, \sin n\theta, \ldots\}. \tag{2.7}$$

Set $\mu_0^{(0)}(z) = u_0^{(0)}(z) = 1$, and

$$\mu_n^{(0)}(z) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{z^k + z^{-k}}{2}, & n = 2k, \\ \dfrac{z^k - z^{-k}}{2i}, & n = 2k-1, \end{cases} \qquad k = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{2.8}$$

$$u_n^{(0)}(z) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{z^k - z^{-k}}{2i}, & n = 2k, \\ \dfrac{z^k + z^{-k}}{2}, & n = 2k-1 \end{cases} \qquad k = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{2.9}$$

as well as

$$\mu_n(z) = \frac{(\mathbf{1} - P_1^{(n-1)})\mu_n^{(0)}(z)}{\|(\mathbf{1} - P_1^{(n-1)})\mu_n^{(0)}\|_{\mathbb{R}}}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$u_n(z) = \frac{(\mathbf{1} - P_2^{(n-1)})u_n^{(0)}(z)}{\|(\mathbf{1} - P_2^{(n-1)})u_n^{(0)}\|_{\mathbb{R}}}, \tag{2.11}$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity operator, then $\{\mu_n(e^{i\theta})\}$ and $\{u_n(e^{i\theta})\}$ are respectively two unique systems of orthonormal trigonometric polynomials (simply, OTP) on the unit circle with respect to μ according to the orders of the above systems (2.6) and (2.7), i.e., from left to right. By continuation of argument, for $z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$, we call $\{\mu_n(z)\}$ and $\{u_n(z)\}$ the first and second systems of orthonormal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle with respect to μ. More precisely, we call $\mu_n(z)$ and $u_n(z)$ the first and second orthonormal Laurent polynomials of order n on the unit circle with respect to μ. And $\mu_n(e^{i\theta})$ and $u_n(e^{i\theta})$ are correspondingly called the first and second orthonormal trigonometric polynomials of order $[\frac{n-1}{2}] + 1$ on the unit circle with respect to μ. Here $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to x. It must be noted that there exist two orthonormal trigonometric polynomials of the same order which are orthogonal to each other. So it happens since the above orthogonalization process is ordered. In [11], Du and Guo discussed the second orthomormal Laurent and trigonometric polynomials on the unit circle and obtained six-terms recurrent formulae and the simplicity and other properties of their zeros which were also obtained in [7].

For convenience, in what follows, we always write

$$\pi_k(z) = \mu_{2k-1}(z), \quad \sigma_k(z) = \mu_{2k}(z) \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$\varrho_k(z) = u_{2k-1}(z), \quad \rho_k(z) = u_{2k}(z), \tag{2.13}$$

where $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. Therefore,

$$\langle \pi_k, \sigma_k \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0, \ \langle \varrho_k, \rho_k \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0, \ \ k = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$a_k \sigma_k(z) = \mu_{2k}^{(0)}(z) - \beta_k b_k \pi_k(z) + L_{1,k}(z), \quad L_{1,k} \in H_1^{(2k-2)}, \tag{2.15}$$

$$c_k \rho_k(z) = u_{2k}^{(0)}(z) - \gamma_k d_k \varrho_k(z) + L_{2,k}(z), \quad L_{2,k} \in H_2^{(2k-2)}, \tag{2.16}$$

where

(2.17)
$$a_k = \|(\mathbf{1} - P_1^{(2k-1)})\mu_{2k}^{(0)}\|_{\mathbb{R}},\ b_k = \|(\mathbf{1} - P_1^{(2k-2)})\mu_{2k-1}^{(0)}\|_{\mathbb{R}},\ \beta_k = b_k^{-1}\langle \mu_{2k}^{(0)}, \pi_k\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$$

and

(2.18)
$$c_k = \|(\mathbf{1} - P_2^{(2k-1)})u_{2k}^{(0)}\|_{\mathbb{R}},\ d_k = \|(\mathbf{1} - P_2^{(2k-2)})u_{2k-1}^{(0)}\|_{\mathbb{R}},\ \gamma_k = d_k^{-1}\langle u_{2k}^{(0)}, \varrho_k\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Throughout, as a convention, it is convenient to take $\sigma_0 = 1$, $\pi_0 = 0$ and $\beta_0 = 0$ as well as $a_0 = b_0 = 1$. In addition, it is obvious that

$$\langle \mu_l^{(0)}, \sigma_k\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle \mu_l^{(0)}, \pi_k\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0,\ l = 0, 1, \ldots, 2k-2 \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$\langle u_l^{(0)}, \rho_k\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle u_l^{(0)}, \varrho_k\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0,\ l = 0, 1, \ldots, 2k-2. \tag{2.20}$$

Identifying the unit circle with the interval $[0, 2\pi)$ via the map $\theta \mapsto e^{i\theta}$, all the same, we write $\sigma_k(\theta) = \sigma_k(e^{i\theta})$, $\pi_k(\theta) = \pi_k(e^{i\theta})$, $\rho_k(\theta) = \rho_k(e^{i\theta})$ and $\varrho_k(\theta) = \varrho_k(e^{i\theta})$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. Obviously, by (2.8),(2.9),(2.19) and (2.20), $\sigma_k, \pi_k, \rho_k, \varrho_k$ are orthonormal trigonometric polynomials of order k on the unit circle with respect to μ and satisfy (2.14)-(2.18). Thus (2.15) and (2.16) can be written as

$$a_k\sigma_k(\theta) = \cos(k\theta) - \beta_k b_k \pi_k(\theta) + T_{1,k-1}(\theta),\quad T_{1,k-1} \in H_{k-1}^T, \tag{2.21}$$

and

$$c_k\rho_k(\theta) = \sin(k\theta) - \gamma_k d_k \varrho_k(\theta) + T_{2,k-1}(\theta),\quad T_{2,k-1} \in H_{k-1}^T, \tag{2.22}$$

where $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, H_{k-1}^T denotes the set of all real trigonometric polynomials of order at most $k-1$, equivalently, the span of the first $2k-1$ elements of trigonometric system (2.6) or (2.7) and $H_0^T = \mathbb{R}$.

By straightforward calculations, using (2.15) and (2.16) or (2.21) and (2.22), it is easy to get a basis transform formula between the first orthonormal Laurent or trigonometric polynomials on the unit circle and the second ones. The detail is left to the reader.

2.2. **Orthogonal and Orthogonal Laurent Polynomials on the Unit Circle.** Next, we turn to the complex inner product (2.3). Applying Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process to the over $\mathbb{C}$ linearly independent system of $\{1, z, z^2, \ldots\}$, we can obtain the monic orthogonal polynomials $\Phi_n(z)$ and the orthonormal polynomials $\varphi_n(z)$ on the unit circle satisfying

$$\Phi_n(z) = z^n + \text{ lower order},\quad \varphi_n(z) = \kappa_n z^n + \text{ lower order} \tag{2.23}$$

with $\kappa_n > 0$ and

$$\langle \Phi_m, \Phi_n\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \kappa_n^{-2}\delta_{mn},\quad \langle \varphi_m, \varphi_n\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \delta_{mn}. \tag{2.24}$$

Then $\|\Phi_n\|_{\mathbb{C}}^2 = \kappa_n^{-2}$ and $\varphi_n = \Phi_n/\|\Phi_n\|_{\mathbb{C}}$ follow from (2.23) and (2.24).

The reversed polynomial Q^* is defined by

$$Q_n^*(z) = z^n\overline{Q_n(1/\overline{z})} \tag{2.25}$$

for any polynomial Q_n of order n, i.e.,

$$Q_n^*(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \overline{q}_{n-j} z^j \text{ while } Q_n(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} q_j z^j. \tag{2.26}$$

One of the famous properties of orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle is the Szegö recurrence [24, 25, 26, 30]. That is to say that

$$\Phi_{n+1}(z) = z\Phi_n(z) - \overline{\alpha}_n \Phi_n^*(z), \tag{2.27}$$

where $\alpha_n = -\overline{\Phi_{n+1}(0)}$ are called Verblunsky coefficients. By convention, $\alpha_{-1} = -1$ (see [25]). Szegö recurrence (2.27) is extremely useful in the theory of OPUC. By (2.25) and (2.27), we also have

$$\Phi_{n+1}^*(z) = \Phi_n^*(z) - \alpha_n z\Phi_n(z). \tag{2.28}$$

For the orthonormal polynomials $\varphi_n(z)$, the recurrences become

$$\varphi_{n+1}(z) = \eta_n^{-1}(z\varphi_n(z) - \overline{\alpha}_n \varphi_n^*(z)) \tag{2.29}$$

and

$$\varphi_{n+1}^*(z) = \eta_n^{-1}(\varphi_n^*(z) - \alpha_n z\varphi_n(z)) \tag{2.30}$$

with $\eta_n = (1 - |\alpha_n|)^{1/2} = \kappa_n/\kappa_{n+1}$.

Making use of (2.29) and (2.30), the well-known Christoffel-Darboux formula for OPUC is obtained, namely

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} \overline{\varphi_j(\zeta)}\varphi_j(z) = \frac{\overline{\varphi_{n+1}^*(\zeta)}\varphi_{n+1}^*(z) - \overline{\varphi_{n+1}(\zeta)}\varphi_{n+1}(z)}{1 - \overline{\zeta}z} \tag{2.31}$$

$$= \frac{\overline{\varphi_n^*(\zeta)}\varphi_n^*(z) - z\overline{\zeta}\,\overline{\varphi_n(\zeta)}\varphi_n(z)}{1 - \overline{\zeta}z} \tag{2.32}$$

for any $z, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $z\overline{\zeta} \neq 1$.

Similarly, in [25], using the complex inner product, Simon defined two classes of orthogonal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle $\chi_n(z)$ and $x_n(z)$ by respectively applying Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process to two complex linearly independent ordered sets of $\{1, z, z^{-1}, \ldots, z^n, z^{-n}, \ldots\}$ and $\{1, z^{-1}, z, \ldots, z^{-n}, z^n, \ldots\}$, which are called the CMV basis and the alternate CMV basis by Simon and originally discussed in [2] and maybe earlier in other places. They are related to OPUC by

$$\chi_{2n-1}(z) = z^{-n+1}\varphi_{2n-1}(z), \quad \chi_{2n}(z) = z^{-n}\varphi_{2n}^*(z) \tag{2.33}$$

and

$$x_{2n-1}(z) = z^{-n}\varphi_{2n-1}^*(z), \quad x_{2n}(z) = z^{-n}\varphi_{2n}(z). \tag{2.34}$$

Moreover, $x_n(z) = \overline{\chi_n(1/\overline{z})}$. The two classes of orthogonal Laurent polynomials have closely relation to CMV matrices which are one of the most interesting developments in the theory of OPUC discovered by Cantero, Moral, and Velázquez in their eminent paper [2]. Recently, the theory of CMV matrices are rapidly developed by contributions of many mathematicians [16, 18, 19, 23, 27] under the important impact of Simon since the CMV matrices provided a powerful tool for many mathematical and physical branches such as perturbation theory of operators, spectral theory, random matrices and integrable systems and so on.

2.3. **Riemann Boundary Value Problems.** As the final, we sketchily introduce the theory of Riemann boundary value problems (sometimes, also called Riemann-Hilbert boundary value problems in some texts). The detailed theory can be found in [12, 20, 22]. In the present subsection, we adopt the notations in [10].

Let L be a set of smooth closed curves $L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_n$ in the complex plane, non-intersecting to each other and positively oriented for each L_j. Therefore L is also positively oriented and denoted by $L = \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_j$. L divides the extended complex plane into a finite number of regions. The region containing the point at infinity is put into S^-, the region neighboring to it is put into S^+, and so on. Then the entire complex plane is divided into two open sets S^+ and S^-. Certainly, we may put the point at infinity in S^+ at the beginning and then proceed as above, then S^+ and S^- are interchanged in position and all L_j and hence L are oppositely oriented.

Let φ be defined on L and Hölder continuous, denoted as $\varphi \in H(L)$. Now we introduce the Cauchy singular integral operator

$$\mathbf{C}[\varphi](z) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{2\pi i}\displaystyle\int_L \frac{\varphi(\tau)}{\tau - z}d\tau, & z \notin L, \\ \dfrac{1}{\pi i}\displaystyle\int_L \frac{\varphi(\tau)}{\tau - t}d\tau, & z = t \in L, \end{cases} \tag{2.35}$$

and the projection operators

$$\mathbf{C}^{\pm}[\varphi](z) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{C}[\varphi](z), & z \in S^{\pm}, \\ \pm\dfrac{1}{2}\varphi(t) + \dfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{C}[\varphi](t), & z = t \in L. \end{cases} \tag{2.36}$$

Let $A^+H(A^-H)$ denote the class of functions analytic in $S^+(S^-)$ and $\in H(\overline{S^+})$ $(\in H(\overline{S^-}))$. We know that [20, 22]

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{C}^{\pm}[\varphi] \in A^{\pm}H, \\ \mathbf{C}[\varphi](\infty) = \lim\limits_{z\to\infty} \mathbf{C}[\varphi](z) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.37}$$

A function $F(z)$ is said to be sectionally holomorphic with L as its jump curve, if it is holomorphic in S^+ and S^-, probably possesses a pole at infinity and has the finite boundary values $F^+(t)$ and $F^-(t)$ when z tends to any point $t \in L$ from S^+ and S^- respectively. Sometimes it is of convenience that we introduce two projection functions

$$F^{\pm}(z) = \begin{cases} F(z), & z \in S^{\pm}, \\ F^{\pm}(t), & z = t \in L. \end{cases} \tag{2.38}$$

Obviously, $\mathbf{C}[\varphi]|_{S^+\cup S^-}$ is sectionally holomorphic with L as its jump curve.

The Riemann boundary value problem, or simply RP, is to find a sectionally holomorphic function Φ with L as its jump curve satisfying the Riemann boundary value condition

$$\Phi^+(t) = G(t)\Phi^-(t) + g(t), \quad t \in L, \tag{2.39}$$

where G and g are given functions on L, both $\in H(L)$, $G(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in L$ (normal type). In RP (2.39), G and g are called the discontinuous factor and the jump function, or simply factor and jump respectively. If Φ is required of order at most

m at infinity, then the problem is denoted by R_m. The complete solution of RP can be found in [12, 20, 22]. The RPs treated in the present paper are all the simplest, which can be directly solved by (2.36).

Example 2.1. Let $\partial\mathbb{D}$ be the unit circle oriented counter–clockwise and weight function $w \in H(\partial\mathbb{D})$ be a positive valued function. Find a sectionally holomorphic function D with $\partial\mathbb{D}$ as its jump curve such that $D(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in S^+ \cup S^-$ and satisfying the following condition

$$\begin{cases} D^+(t)D^-(t) = w(t), & t \in \partial\mathbb{D}, \\ D(\infty) = 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.40}$$

Let

$$X(z) = \exp\left\{\mathbf{C}[\log w](z)\right\}, \qquad z \notin \partial\mathbb{D}. \tag{2.41}$$

Obviously, by (2.37) we know that

$$D(z) = \begin{cases} X^+(z) = \exp\left\{\mathbf{C}[\log w](z)\right\}, & z \in S^+ = \{z : |z| < 1\}, \\ (X^-)^{-1}(z) = \exp\left\{-\mathbf{C}[\log w](z)\right\}, & z \in S^- = \{z : |z| > 1\} \end{cases} \tag{2.42}$$

is a solution of (2.40). In fact, it is the unique solution of (2.40), because (2.40) has only the solutions $D(z) \equiv 1$ while $w \equiv 1$ by the Liouville's theorem. By the way, in the general theory of Riemann boundary value problems (2.40) is just a homogeneous R_0 problem, i.e., $g \equiv 0$ in (2.39), with $\Phi^- = (D^-)^{-1}$ and $\Phi^+ = D^+$ under the condition $D(\infty) = 1$. Since the index $\kappa = 0$ in this case, Φ is certainly the canonical function X of (2.39) (for example, see [20]).

The other kind of Riemann boundary value problems, so–called Riemann boundary value problems for matrix valued functions, or simply MRPs, will also be used in the sequel. To do so, we introduce some terminologies at first.

Let

$$\mathbf{\Phi}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{1,1}(z) & \Phi_{1,2}(z) \\ \Phi_{2,1}(z) & \Phi_{2,2}(z) \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.43}$$

be a (2×2) matrix valued function defined on a set Ω in the complex plane, where each element $\Phi_{j,k}$ is a function defined on Ω. Whenever a property such as continuity, analyticity, etc. is ascribed of $\mathbf{\Phi}$, it is clear that in fact all the element functions $\Phi_{j,k}$ possess the cited property. So the meanings of $\mathbf{\Phi} \in H(L)$, $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is sectionally holomorphic with L as its jump curve, etc. are obvious. In particular,

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} \mathbf{\Phi}(z) = \mathbf{a} =: \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.44}$$

means

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} \Phi_{j,k}(z) = a_{j,k}, \qquad j,k = 1,2, \tag{2.45}$$

where $\mathbf{a}$ is a complex valued matrix. But we would rather treat (2.44) as the convergence in the sense of the norm

$$\|\mathbf{\Phi}(z)\| = \sum_{j,k=1}^{2} |\Phi_{j,k}(z)|\,. \tag{2.46}$$

Sometimes we also use the following norm

$$\|\mathbf{\Phi}\|_{\Omega} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{2} \|\Phi_{j,k}\|_{\Omega} \quad \text{with} \quad \|\Phi_{j,k}\|_{\Omega} = \sup\Big\{|\Phi_{j,k}(z)|,\ z \in \Omega\Big\}. \tag{2.47}$$

Now we state Riemann boundary value problems for matrix valued functions as follows: Find a sectionally holomorphic matrix valued function $\mathbf{\Phi}$, with L as its jump curve satisfying the Riemann boundary value condition

$$\mathbf{\Phi}^{+}(t) = \mathbf{G}(t)\mathbf{\Phi}^{-}(t) + \mathbf{g}(t), \qquad t \in L, \tag{2.48}$$

where $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{g}$ are given (2×2) matrix valued functions on L, both $\in H(L)$, $\det \mathbf{G}(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in L$ (normal type). G and g are respectively called the discontinuous factor and jump matrices. In addition, we say that $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is of order m at infinity, denoted as $\mathrm{Ord}(\mathbf{\Phi}, \infty) = m$, if $m = \max\{m_{j,k} : j,k = 1,2\}$ where $m_{j,k} = \mathrm{Ord}\,(\Phi_{j,k}, \infty)$ is the order of $\Phi_{j,k}$ at infinity. If it is required that

$$\mathrm{Ord}(\mathbf{\Phi}, \infty) \leq m, \tag{2.49}$$

then the problem is denoted by MR_m.

The complete theory of MRPs can be established since they can be transformed into Riemann boundary value problems for the system of analytic functions (simply, SRPs) whereas the complete theory of the latter can be found in [12, 20, 22]. The transformation process is in detail stated in [10].

As the rule mentioned above, the principal part of the sectionally holomorphic matrix valued function $\mathbf{\Phi}$ given in (2.43) at infinity is

$$\mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[\mathbf{\Phi}, \infty](z) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[\Phi_{1,1}, \infty](z) & \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[\Phi_{1,2}, \infty](z) \\ \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[\Phi_{2,1}, \infty](z) & \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[\Phi_{2,2}, \infty](z) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.50}$$

where $\mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[\Phi_{j,k}, \infty]$ are the principal parts of the sectionally holomorphic functions $\Phi_{j,k}$ at infinity. While we change the requirement (2.49) to the condition for the behavior of the principal part at infinity

$$\mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[\mathbf{\Xi\Phi}, \infty](z) = \mathbf{\Pi}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_{1,1}(z) & \Pi_{1,2}(z) \\ \Pi_{2,1}(z) & \Pi_{2,2}(z) \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.51}$$

where $\mathbf{\Xi}$ and $\mathbf{\Pi}$ are respectively a given analytic matrix valued function on some neighborhood of the point at infinity and a polynomial matrix valued function, the MRP (2.48) gives rise to serious difficulty. The general discussion for it will be postponed to another paper in order to avoid departing from the subject. In the present paper, we only deal with some simple homogeneous MRPs which will be used in the sequel.

Example 2.2. We consider the simplest MRP, which is a skew type MRP for a sectionally holomorphic matrix valued function $\mathbf{M}$ with $\partial\mathbb{D}$ as its jump curve:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{M}^+(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{M}^-(t), \quad t \in \partial\mathbb{D} \\ \\ \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[\mathbf{M}, \infty](z) = I, \end{cases} \tag{2.52}$$

where I is the (2×2) identity matrix. By a direct calculation and using Liouville's theorem, we easily know that

$$\mathbf{M}(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & |z| < 1, \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & |z| > 1 \end{cases} \tag{2.53}$$

is the unique solution of MRP (2.52).

Example 2.3. Let L be a set of smooth closed curves $L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_n$ in the complex plane, non-intersecting to each other and positively oriented for each L_j. We consider the following MRP:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{R}^+(t) = \mathbf{V}(t)\mathbf{R}^-(t), \quad t \in L, \\ \\ \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[\mathbf{R}, \infty](z) = I, \end{cases} \tag{2.54}$$

where $\mathbf{V} \in H(L)$ and $\det \mathbf{V}(t) \neq 0$ for any $t \in L$. Moreover assume $\mathbf{V}$ is analytic on L, i.e., analytic on some open neighborhood Ω of L. Under these assumptions, we can show that the solution $\mathbf{R}$ of (2.54) is controlled by the factor matrix $\mathbf{V}$ in certain sense.

Lemma 2.4 (Du and Du [10])**.** *There exist constants $C > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\|\mathbf{R} - I\|_{\mathcal{C}\setminus L} \leq C \|\mathbf{V} - I\|_{\Omega} \quad \textit{while} \quad \|\mathbf{V} - I\|_{\Omega} < \delta. \tag{2.55}$$

Remark 2.5. When L is a simple closed contour, this result is due to Aptekarev [1] (also see [17]).

3. Riemann-Hilbert Analysis for Orthogonal Trigonometric Polynomials

In the present section, we only consider asymptotics for the first orthonormal Laurent polynomials μ_n on the unit circle in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ by the Riemann-Hilbert approach. As a consequence, we easily obtain asymptotics for the first orthonormal trigonometric polynomials $\pi_n(\theta)$ and $\sigma_n(\theta)$ on $[0, 2\pi)$. Similarly, asymptotics for the second orthonormal Laurent or trigonometric polynomials on the unit circle can be also obtained by the Riemann-Hilbert approach or in terms of the basis transform formula between the first orthonormal Laurent or trigonometric polynomials on the unit circle and the second ones.

3.1. **Characterization of Orthogonal Trigonometric Polynomials.** We begin with the characterization of the first orthogonal Laurent or trigonometric polynomials on the unit circle.

The characterization is the following MRP

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{Y}^+(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{-2n}w(t) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y}^-(t), \quad t \in \partial\mathbb{D}, \\ \\ \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[\mathbf{\Xi Y}, \infty](z) = I, \\ \\ Y_{1,1}(0) = Y_{1,2}(0) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

with

$$\mathbf{\Xi}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z^{-2n} & 0 \\ 0 & z^{2n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.2}$$

where the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D}$ is counter-clockwise oriented and $w \in H(\partial\mathbb{D})$, which is a positive weight function on the unit circle.

Theorem 3.1. *The MRP (3.1) is uniquely solvable and its unique solution is*

(3.3)
$$\begin{pmatrix} z^n[\lambda_{1,n}a_n\sigma_n(z) + \lambda_{2,n}b_n\pi_n(z)] & z^n[\lambda_{3,n-1}a_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}(z) + \lambda_{4,n-1}b_{n-1}\pi_{n-1}(z)] \\ \mathbf{C}\big[\tau^n\big(\lambda_{1,n}a_n\sigma_n + \lambda_{2,n}b_n\pi_n\big)\big](z) & \mathbf{C}\big[\tau^n\big(\lambda_{3,n-1}a_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1} + \lambda_{4,n-1}b_{n-1}\pi_{n-1}\big)\big](z) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\sigma_n(z), \pi_n(z)$ are respectively the first orthonormal Laurent polynomials of order $2n$ and $2n-1$ on the unit circle, a_n, b_n, β_n are given in (2.17), $\lambda_{1,n} = 1$, $\lambda_{2,n} = \beta_n + i$, $\lambda_{3,n-1} = -\frac{1}{2}a_{n-1}^{-2}(1 + \beta_{n-1}i)$, $\lambda_{4,n-1} = \frac{1}{2}b_{n-1}^{-2}i$, and the Cauchy singular integral operator $\mathbf{C}$ is given by (2.35).

Proof. The boundary value conditions in (3.1) can be explicitly written as

$$Y_{1,1}^+(t) = Y_{1,1}^-(t), \qquad t \in \partial\mathbb{D}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$Y_{2,1}^+(t) = t^{-2n}w(t)Y_{1,1}^-(t) + Y_{2,1}^-(t), \qquad t \in \partial\mathbb{D}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$Y_{1,2}^+(t) = Y_{1,2}^-(t), \qquad t \in \partial\mathbb{D}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$Y_{2,2}^+(t) = t^{-2n}w(t)Y_{1,2}^-(t) + Y_{2,2}^-(t), \qquad t \in \partial\mathbb{D}. \tag{3.7}$$

Clearly write the condition for the behavior of the principal part at infinity by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[z^{-2n}Y_{1,1}, \infty](z) & \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[z^{-2n}Y_{1,2}, \infty](z) \\ \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[z^{2n-1}Y_{2,1}, \infty](z) & \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[z^{2n-1}Y_{2,2}, \infty](z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.8}$$

Obviously, $Y_{1,1}(z)$ is a monic polynomial of order $2n$. Note that $Y_{1,1}(0) = 0$, set

$$Y_{1,1}(z) = z^n P_{1,1}(z), \quad P_{1,1} \in H_{2n}^L, \tag{3.9}$$

where H_{2n}^L denotes the span of the first $2n$ elements of the ordered set $\{1, z, z^{-1}, \ldots, z^n, z^{-n}, \ldots\}$, i.e., $P_{1,1}$ is a Laurent polynomial spaned by $\{1, z, z^{-1}, \ldots, z^{n-1}, z^{-n+1}, z^n\}$ with 1 as the coefficient of z^n.

Substituting (3.9) into (3.5), we have

$$Y_{2,1}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\tau^{-n}P_{1,1}(\tau)w(\tau)}{\tau - z}d\tau \tag{3.10}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\tau^{-n+1}P_{1,1}(\tau)w(\tau)}{\tau - z}\frac{d\tau}{\tau}. \tag{3.11}$$

Note that for sufficiently large z,

$$\frac{1}{\tau - z} = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\tau^k}{z^{k+1}}. \tag{3.12}$$

So by (3.8), (3.11) and (3.12), it is easy to see that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \tau^{-n+1+k}P_{1,1}(\tau)w(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{\tau} = 0,\ k = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n-2. \tag{3.13}$$

It shows that

$$\langle \tau^{\pm j}, P_{1,1}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1. \tag{3.14}$$

Therefore,

$$P_{1,1}(z) = \lambda_{1,n}a_n\sigma_n(z) + \lambda_{2,n}b_n\pi_n(z), \tag{3.15}$$

where $\lambda_{1,n}, \lambda_{2,n}$ are some complex constants only depending on n, $\sigma_n(z), \pi_n(z)$ are respectively the first orthogonal Laurent polynomials of order $2n$ and $2n-1$ on the unit circle, and a_n, b_n are given in (2.17). Since the coefficient of z^n in $P_{1,1}(z)$ is 1 and $P_{1,1} \in H_{2n}^L$ defined as above, we have

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta_n}{2i}\right)\lambda_{1,n} + \frac{1}{2i}\lambda_{2,n} = 1, \\ \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\beta_n}{2i}\right)\lambda_{1,n} - \frac{1}{2i}\lambda_{2,n} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.16}$$

where β_n is given in (2.17). Then

$$\lambda_{1,n} = 1, \quad \lambda_{2,n} = \beta_n + i. \tag{3.17}$$

Hence,

$$Y_{1,1}(z) = z^n[a_n\sigma_n(z) + (\beta_n + i)b_n\pi_n(z)] \tag{3.18}$$

and

$$Y_{2,1}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\tau^n[a_n\sigma_n(\tau) + (\beta_n + i)b_n\pi_n(\tau)]w(\tau)}{\tau - z}d\tau. \tag{3.19}$$

Analogously, $Y_{1,2}(z)$ is a polynomial of order at most $2n-1$ which follows from (3.6) and (3.8). All and the same, note that $Y_{1,2}(0) = 0$, let

$$Y_{1,2}(z) = z^n P_{1,2}(z), \quad P_{1,2} \in H_{2n-1}^L, \tag{3.20}$$

i.e., where $P_{1,2}$ is a Laurent polynomial spaned by $\{1, z, z^{-1}, \ldots, z^{n-1}, z^{-n+1}\}$.

Put (3.20) into (3.7), we get

$$Y_{2,2}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\tau^{-n}P_{1,2}(\tau)w(\tau)}{\tau - z}d\tau \tag{3.21}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\tau^{-n+1}P_{1,2}(\tau)w(\tau)}{\tau - z}\frac{d\tau}{\tau}. \tag{3.22}$$

By (3.8), (3.12) and (3.22),

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \tau^{-n+1+k} P_{1,2}(\tau) w(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{\tau} = 0,\ k = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n-3 \tag{3.23}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \tau^{n-1} P_{1,2}(\tau) w(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{\tau} = -1. \tag{3.24}$$

(3.23) and (3.24) are equivalent to

$$\langle \tau^{\pm j}, P_{1,2}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-2 \tag{3.25}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \langle \tau^{n-1},\, P_{1,2}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = -1, \\ \langle \tau^{-n+1},\, P_{1,2}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.26}$$

From (3.25),

$$P_{1,2}(z) = \lambda_{3,n-1} a_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}(z) + \lambda_{4,n-1} b_{n-1}\pi_{n-1}(z), \tag{3.27}$$

where $\lambda_{3,n-1}, \lambda_{4,n-1}$ are some complex constants only depending on $n-1$, $\sigma_{n-1}(z)$, $\pi_{n-1}(z)$ are respectively the first orthogonal Laurent polynomials of order $2n-2$ and $2n-3$ on the unit circle, and a_{n-1}, b_{n-1} are given in (2.17).

Applying (3.27) to (3.26), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \langle \mu^{(0)}_{2n-2},\, a_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}\lambda_{3,n-1} + \langle \mu^{(0)}_{2n-2},\, b_{n-1}\pi_{n-1}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}\lambda_{4,n-1} = -1/2, \\ \langle \mu^{(0)}_{2n-3},\, a_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}\lambda_{3,n-1} + \langle \mu^{(0)}_{2n-3},\, b_{n-1}\pi_{n-1}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}\lambda_{4,n-1} = -1/2i. \end{cases} \tag{3.28}$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{cases} a^2_{n-1}\lambda_{3,n-1} + \beta_{n-1} b^2_{n-1}\lambda_{4,n-1} = -1/2, \\ b^2_{n-1}\lambda_{4,n-1} = -1/2i. \end{cases} \tag{3.29}$$

Therefore,

$$\lambda_{3,n-1} = -\frac{1}{2}a^{-2}_{n-1}(1+\beta_{n-1}i), \quad \lambda_{4,n-1} = \frac{1}{2}b^{-2}_{n-1}i. \tag{3.30}$$

So

$$Y_{1,2}(z) = -\frac{1}{2}z^n[a^{-1}_{n-1}(1+\beta_{n-1}i)\sigma_{n-1}(z) - ib^{-1}_{n-1}\pi_{n-1}(z)] \tag{3.31}$$

and

$$Y_{2,2}(z) = -\frac{1}{4\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\tau^n[a^{-1}_{n-1}(1+\beta_{n-1}i)\sigma_{n-1}(\tau) - ib^{-1}_{n-1}\pi_{n-1}(\tau)]w(\tau)}{\tau - z}d\tau. \tag{3.32}$$

By the uniqueness of σ_n and π_n, we complete the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

Remark 3.2. In the above proof, we get some complex constants $\lambda_{1,n}$, $\lambda_{2,n}$, $\lambda_{3,n}$ and $\lambda_{4,n}$ which only depend on n. In the sequel, in order to independently obtain asymptotics of σ_n and π_n, we must consider the following determinant

$$\Lambda_n = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_{1,n} & \lambda_{2,n} \\ -\lambda_{3,n} & -\lambda_{4,n} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{3.33}$$

By straightforward calculations,

$$\Lambda_n = -\frac{1}{2}[a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_n^2) + b_n^{-2}]i \neq 0. \tag{3.34}$$

In what follows, one will find that this determinant is extremely important, useful and powerful. In section 5, we will give another explanation on Λ_n in terms of OPUC.

3.2. **Steepest Descent Analysis for Characterization.** In the last section, we have used a MRP to characterize the first orthogonal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle with positive and Hölder continuous weight functions. Obviously, they become the first orthogonal trigonometric polynomials by restricting them to the unit circle. In this section, in order to obtain their asymptotics, we analyze the characterization by steepest descent method originally introduced by Deift and Zhou in [5] which usually consists of a series of explicit transforms. In the case of the present paper, the strategy of the steepest descent method is extremely similar to the one used in [10] with some modifications. It depends on a series of transforms as follows

$$\mathbf{Y} \mapsto \mathbf{D} \mapsto \mathbf{F} \mapsto \mathbf{S} \mapsto \mathbf{R}, \tag{3.35}$$

where $\mathbf{Y}$ is given by the characterization (3.3) in the last section and $\mathbf{R}$ is given in Example 2.3 in Section 2 which will be given in greater detail in this section. Then the asymptotics of $\mathbf{Y}$ is done by applying Lemma 2.4 to $\mathbf{R}$. Each of these transforms brings us closer to our claim. From now on, we always suppose that the weight function w is positive and analytic on the unit circle. That is, w is analytic in a open neighborhood of $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and positive on $\partial\mathbb{D}$.

First transform $\mathbf{Y} \mapsto \mathbf{D}$. The aim of this transform is very clear, which is to make that the new MRP is normalized at infinity. Let

$$\mathbf{D}(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} D(z) & 0 \\ 0 & D^{-1}(z) \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y}(z), & |z| < 1, \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} z^{-2n}D(z) & 0 \\ 0 & z^{2n-1}D^{-1}(z) \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y}(z), & |z| > 1, \end{cases} \tag{3.36}$$

where D and $\mathbf{Y}$ are respectively given in Example 2.1 and by the characterization in the last section. Then, we straightforward get from (3.1), that $\mathbf{D}$ is a sectionally holomorphic matrix valued function with $\partial\mathbb{D}$ as its jump curve, satisfying the following MRP

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{D}^+(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{t^{2n}(D^+)^2(t)}{w(t)} & 0 \\ 1 & \dfrac{t^{-2n+1}(D^-)^2(t)}{w(t)} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}^-(t), \quad t \in \partial\mathbb{D}, \\ \\ \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[\mathbf{D}, \infty](z) = I, \\ \\ D_{1,1}(0) = D_{1,2}(0) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.37}$$

Remark 3.3. The MRP (3.37) is uniquely solvable because it is equivalent to the MRP (3.1) under the transform (3.36).

Second transform $\mathbf{D} \mapsto \mathbf{F}$. This transform is an additional one by comparing the steepest descent method used in [10]. Note that the determinant of the factor matrix for $\mathbf{D}$ is t but not identically equal to 1, namely,

$$\begin{vmatrix} \dfrac{t^{2n}(D^+)^2(t)}{w(t)} & 0 \\ 1 & \dfrac{t^{-2n+1}(D^-)^2(t)}{w(t)} \end{vmatrix} = t \neq 1, \quad \text{as } 1 \neq t \in \partial\mathbb{D}. \tag{3.38}$$

However, the determinants of the factor matrices in the steepest descent method are usually equal to 1. This is conveniently necessary for the design on the steepest descent method. Such aim will be attained by the discussing transform. To do so, let

$$\mathbf{F}(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{D_{1,1}(z)}{z} & \dfrac{D_{1,2}(z)}{z} \\ D_{2,1}(z) & D_{2,2}(z) \end{pmatrix}, & 0 \neq |z| < 1, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \lim\limits_{z\to 0} \dfrac{D_{1,1}(z)}{z} & \lim\limits_{z\to 0} \dfrac{D_{1,2}(z)}{z} \\ D_{2,1}(0) & D_{2,2}(0) \end{pmatrix}, & z = 0, \\ \mathbf{D}(z), & |z| > 1, \end{cases} \tag{3.39}$$

then from (3.37), $\mathbf{F}$ is a sectionally holomorphic matrix valued function with $\partial\mathbb{D}$ as its jump curve, satisfying the following MRP

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{F}^+(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{t^{2n-1}(D^+)^2(t)}{w(t)} & 0 \\ 1 & \dfrac{t^{-2n+1}(D^-)^2(t)}{w(t)} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}^-(t), & t \in \partial\mathbb{D}, \\ \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[\mathbf{F}, \infty](z) = I. \end{cases} \tag{3.40}$$

It must be noted that MRP (3.40) is just the first transformed MRP [10] with $2n-1$ here in place of n there. So we can directly apply analogous steepest descent transforms used in [10] to construct the sequent ones in the present paper, i.e., the steepest descent transforms $\mathbf{F} \mapsto \mathbf{S} \mapsto \mathbf{R}$.

Remark 3.4. The MRP (3.40) is uniquely solvable because it is equivalent to the MRP (3.37) under the transform (3.39).

Just as the above statement, the detail policy of the following transforms can be found in [10].

Third transform $\mathbf{F} \mapsto \mathbf{S}$. This transform is that the jump curve $\partial\mathbb{D}$ of $\mathbf{F}$ will be artificially enlarged so that the discontinuous factor matrix on the appended

part of the new jump curve can fulfill the condition in the assertion (2.55). It is based on a convenient factorization of the matrix

$$\begin{aligned}(3.41)\qquad &\begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{t^{2n-1}(D^+)^2(t)}{w(t)} & 0 \\ 1 & \dfrac{t^{-2n+1}(D^-)^2(t)}{w(t)} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dfrac{t^{2n-1}(D^+)^2(t)}{w(t)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dfrac{t^{-2n+1}(D^-)^2(t)}{w(t)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in \partial\mathbb{D}.\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(3.42)\qquad \mathbf{S}(z) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{F}(z), & z \in S_{\sharp 2}^+ \cup S_{\sharp 1}^-, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dfrac{z^{-2n+1}D^2(z)}{w(z)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}(z), & z \in S_{\sharp 2}^-, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\dfrac{z^{2n-1}D^2(z)}{w(z)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}(z), & z \in S_{\sharp 1}^+ \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.43)\qquad \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}(t) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dfrac{t^{-2n+1}(D^-)^2(t)}{w(t)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & t \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & t \in \partial\mathbb{D}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dfrac{t^{2n-1}(D^+)^2(t)}{w(t)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & t \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{1-\epsilon}, \end{cases}$$

ϵ be a positive real constant and sufficiently small,

$$(3.44)\qquad \partial\mathbb{D}_{1-\epsilon} = \{z:\ |z| = 1-\epsilon\}, \quad \partial\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon} = \{z:\ |z| = 1+\epsilon\},$$

$$(3.45)\qquad S_{\sharp 2}^+ = \{z:\ |z| > 1+\epsilon, z = \infty\}, \quad S_{\sharp 1}^+ = \{z:\ 1-\epsilon < |z| < 1\},$$

and

$$(3.46)\qquad S_{\sharp 2}^- = \{z:\ 1 < |z| < 1+\epsilon\}, \quad S_{\sharp 1}^- = \{z:\ |z| < 1-\epsilon\}.$$

In the above, $\partial\mathbb{D}_{1-\epsilon}$ and $\partial\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon}$ are clockwise oriented while the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D}$ is counter–clockwise oriented, $L_\sharp = \partial\mathbb{D}_{1-\epsilon} + \partial\mathbb{D} + \partial\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon}$ divides the extended complex plane into two parts $S_\sharp^+ = S_{\sharp 1}^+ \cup S_{\sharp 2}^+$ and $S_\sharp^- = S_{\sharp 1}^- \cup S_{\sharp 2}^-$. Here, we have assumed that the weight function w is analytic in

$$(3.47)\qquad U(\epsilon) = \{z:\ 1-3\epsilon < |z| < 1+3\epsilon\} \ \text{ with } \ 0 < \epsilon < 1/3.$$

Then, it is easy to directly verify that $\mathbf{S}$ is a solution of the following MRP:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{S}^-(t) = \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}(t)\mathbf{S}^+(t), \quad t \in L_\sharp, \\ \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[\mathbf{S}, \infty](z) = I. \end{cases} \tag{3.48}$$

Remark 3.5. The MRP (3.48) is uniquely solvable because it is equivalent to the MRP (3.40) under the transform (3.42).

Fourth transform $\mathbf{S} \mapsto \mathbf{R}$. The effect of this transform is to take out the discontinuity on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ such that $\mathbf{R}$ may be analytic across the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D}$. Thus we get a MRP fulfilling the conditions in the MRP (2.54) and assertion (2.55). To do so, set

$$\mathbf{R}(z) = \mathbf{M}^{-1}(z)\mathbf{S}(z), \quad z \in \mathcal{C} \setminus L_\sharp. \tag{3.49}$$

In fact, by using Example 2.2 we have that

$$\left(\mathbf{M}^+\right)^{-1}(t)\,\mathbf{S}^+(t) = \left(\mathbf{M}^-\right)^{-1}(t)\,\mathbf{S}^-(t), \qquad t \in \partial\mathbb{D}. \tag{3.50}$$

Thus, we get the sectionally holomorphic matrix valued function $\mathbf{R}$ with the jump curve $L = (-\partial\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon}) + \partial\mathbb{D}_{1-\epsilon}$ where $-\partial\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon}$ denotes the oppositive sense of $\partial\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon}$. L divides the extended complex plane into two parts $S^- = S^+_{\sharp 2} \cup S^-_{\sharp 1}$ and $S^+ = S^-_{\sharp 2} \cup S^+_{\sharp 1}$, or simply,

$$S^- = \{z : |z| > 1+\epsilon \text{ or } |z| < 1-\epsilon\}, \quad S^+ = \{z : 1-\epsilon < |z| < 1+\epsilon\}. \tag{3.51}$$

Then, $\mathbf{R}$ is the solution of the following MRP:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{R}^+(t) = \mathbf{V}(t)\mathbf{R}^-(t), \qquad t \in L, \\ \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[\mathbf{R}, \infty](z) = I, \end{cases} \tag{3.52}$$

where the factor matrix

$$\mathbf{V}(t) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dfrac{t^{-2n+1}(D^-)^2(t)}{w(t)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & t \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon}, \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \dfrac{t^{2n-1}(D^+)^2(t)}{w(t)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & t \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{1-\epsilon}. \end{cases} \tag{3.53}$$

Remark 3.6. The MRP (3.53) is equivalent to the MRP (3.48) under the transform (3.49), so it is also uniquely solvable.

Remark 3.7. Since w is analytic in some open neighborhood of $\partial\mathbb{D}$, for example, the above $U(\epsilon)$, so is V, say the annular region

$$\Omega(\epsilon) = \Omega_1(\epsilon) \cup \Omega_2(\epsilon) \tag{3.54}$$

with

$$\Omega_1(\epsilon) = \left\{z : 1 - \frac{5}{2}\epsilon < |z| < 1 - \frac{3}{2}\epsilon\right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_2(\epsilon) = \left\{z : 1 + \frac{3}{2}\epsilon < |z| < 1 + \frac{5}{2}\epsilon\right\}. \tag{3.55}$$

In this case, it is easy to see that there exist positive real constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$\|\mathbf{V}-I\|_{\Omega(\epsilon)} \leq C_1 \exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\}. \tag{3.56}$$

In [10], they are given by

$$C_1 = 2\max\left\{\left\|\frac{(D^+)^2}{w}\right\|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}, \left\|\frac{(D^-)^2}{w}\right\|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}\right\} \text{ and } C_2 = \log\left(1+\frac{3}{2}\epsilon\right). \tag{3.57}$$

4. Asymptotic Analysis for Orthogonal Trigonometric Polynomials

Tracing back the steps $\mathbf{Y}\mapsto\mathbf{D}\mapsto\mathbf{F}\mapsto\mathbf{S}\mapsto\mathbf{R}$, we can obtain some asymptotic formulae for the first orthogonal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle with respect to the positive and analytic weight w. Consequently, we also obtain asymptotics for the first orthogonal trigonometric polynomials.

Case 1: $z\in S^+_{\sharp 2}$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y}(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} z^{2n}D^{-1}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-2n+1}D(z)\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{D}(z)\\
&= \begin{pmatrix} z^{2n}D^{-1}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-2n+1}D(z)\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{F}(z)\\
&= \begin{pmatrix} z^{2n}D^{-1}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-2n+1}D(z)\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{S}(z)\\
&= \begin{pmatrix} z^{2n}D^{-1}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-2n+1}D(z)\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{R}(z).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Let

$$\mathbf{R}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} R_{1,1}(z) & R_{1,2}(z) \\ R_{2,1}(z) & R_{2,2}(z)\end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.2}$$

then, from (3.3), we have

$$a_n\sigma_n(z) + (\beta_n+i)b_n\pi_n(z) = \frac{z^n}{D(z)}R_{1,1}(z) \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}[a_{n-1}^{-1}(1+\beta_{n-1}i)\sigma_{n-1}(z) - ib_{n-1}^{-1}\pi_{n-1}(z)] = -\frac{z^n}{D(z)}R_{1,2}(z). \tag{4.4}$$

Now, quoting (3.56), Lemma 2.4, (4.3) and (4.4), we get the estimations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|z^{-n}D(z)[a_n\sigma_n(z)+(\beta_n+i)b_n\pi_n(z)]-1\right|_{z\in S^+_{\sharp 2}}\\
\leq& C_1\exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{1}{2}z^{-n}D(z)[a_{n-1}^{-1}(1+\beta_{n-1}i)\sigma_{n-1}(z) - ib_{n-1}^{-1}\pi_{n-1}(z)]\right|_{z\in S^+_{\sharp 2}}\\
\leq& C_1\exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

for sufficiently large n with the constants C_1 and C_2 given in (3.57).

Case 2: $z \in S_{\sharp 1}^{-}$. In exactly the same way, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y}(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} D^{-1}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & D(z) \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}(z) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} D^{-1}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & D(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}(z) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} D^{-1}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & D(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{S}(z) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} D^{-1}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & D(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}(z).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Then, we have

$$a_n\sigma_n(z) + (\beta_n + i)b_n\pi_n(z) = -\frac{1}{z^{n-1}D(z)}R_{2,1}(z) \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}[a_{n-1}^{-1}(1 + \beta_{n-1}i)\sigma_{n-1}(z) - ib_{n-1}^{-1}\pi_{n-1}(z)] = \frac{1}{z^{n-1}D(z)}R_{2,2}(z) \tag{4.9}$$

for $z \in S_{\sharp 1}^{-} \setminus \{0\}$. Now, quoting (3.56), Lemma 2.4, (4.8) and (4.9), we also get the estimations

$$\left|z^{n-1}D(z)[a_n\sigma_n(z) + (\beta_n + i)b_n\pi_n(z)]\right|_{z\in S_{\sharp 1}^{-}} \leq C_1 \exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\} \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{1}{2}z^{n-1}D(z)[a_{n-1}^{-1}(1 + \beta_{n-1}i)\sigma_{n-1}(z) - ib_{n-1}^{-1}\pi_{n-1}(z)] - 1\right|_{z\in S_{\sharp 1}^{-}} \\
&\leq C_1 \exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

for sufficiently large n with the constants C_1 and C_2 given in (3.57).

Case 3: $z \in S_{\sharp 2}^{-}$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y}(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} z^{2n}D^{-1}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-2n+1}D(z) \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}(z) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} z^{2n}D^{-1}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-2n+1}D(z) \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}(z) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} z^{2n}D^{-1}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-2n+1}D(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\dfrac{z^{-2n+1}D^2(z)}{w(z)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{S}(z) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} z^{2n}D^{-1}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-2n+1}D(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\dfrac{z^{-2n+1}D^2(z)}{w(z)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}(z).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Then, we have

$$a_n\sigma_n(z) + (\beta_n + i)b_n\pi_n(z) = \frac{z^n}{D(z)}R_{1,1}(z) - \frac{D(z)}{z^{n-1}w(z)}R_{2,1}(z) \tag{4.13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(4.14)\qquad &\frac{1}{2}[a_{n-1}^{-1}(1+\beta_{n-1}i)\sigma_{n-1}(z)-ib_{n-1}^{-1}\pi_{n-1}(z)]\\ =&-\frac{z^n}{D(z)}R_{1,2}(z)+\frac{D(z)}{z^{n-1}w(z)}R_{2,2}(z).\end{aligned}$$

Again, by (3.56), Lemma 2.4, (4.13) and (4.14), we get the estimations

$$\begin{aligned}(4.15)\qquad &\left|z^{-n}D(z)[a_n\sigma_n(z)+(\beta_n+i)b_n\pi_n(z)]-1\right|_{z\in S_{\sharp 2}^-}\\ \le& C_1\left[1+\left\|\frac{D^2}{w}\right\|_{z\in S_{\sharp 2}^-}\right]\exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(4.16)\qquad &\left|\frac{1}{2}z^{n-1}D^{-1}(z)w(z)[a_{n-1}^{-1}(1+\beta_{n-1}i)\sigma_{n-1}(z)-ib_{n-1}^{-1}\pi_{n-1}(z)]-1\right|_{z\in S_{\sharp 2}^-}\\ \le& C_1\left[1+(1+\epsilon)^{2n-1}\left\|\frac{w}{D^2}\right\|_{z\in S_{\sharp 2}^-}\right]\exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\}\end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large n with the constants C_1 and C_2 given in (3.57).

Case 4: $z\in S_{\sharp 1}^+$. In this case, we have

(4.17)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Y}(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} D^{-1}(z) & 0\\ 0 & D(z)\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{D}(z)\\ &= \begin{pmatrix} D^{-1}(z) & 0\\ 0 & D(z)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} z & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{F}(z)\\ &= \begin{pmatrix} zD^{-1}(z) & 0\\ 0 & D(z)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dfrac{z^{2n-1}D^2(z)}{w(z)}\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{S}(z)\\ &= \begin{pmatrix} zD^{-1}(z) & 0\\ 0 & D(z)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dfrac{z^{2n-1}D^2(z)}{w(z)}\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{R}(z).\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$(4.18)\qquad a_n\sigma_n(z)+(\beta_n+i)b_n\pi_n(z)=\frac{z^nD(z)}{w(z)}R_{1,1}(z)-\frac{1}{z^{n-1}D(z)}R_{2,1}(z)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(4.19)\qquad &\frac{1}{2}[a_{n-1}^{-1}(1+\beta_{n-1}i)\sigma_{n-1}(z)-ib_{n-1}^{-1}\pi_{n-1}(z)]\\ =&-\frac{z^nD(z)}{w(z)}R_{1,2}(z)+\frac{1}{z^{n-1}D(z)}R_{2,2}(z).\end{aligned}$$

All and the same, by (3.56), Lemma 2.4, (4.19) and (4.20), we get the estimations

$$\begin{aligned}(4.20)\qquad &\left|z^{-n}D^{-1}(z)w(z)[a_n\sigma_n(z)+(\beta_n+i)b_n\pi_n(z)]-1\right|_{z\in S^+_{\sharp 1}}\\ &\leq C_1\left[1+(1-\epsilon)^{-2n+1}\left\|\frac{w}{D^2}\right\|_{z\in S^+_{\sharp 1}}\right]\exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(4.21)\qquad &\left|\frac{1}{2}z^{n-1}D(z)[a_{n-1}^{-1}(1+\beta_{n-1}i)\sigma_{n-1}(z)-ib_{n-1}^{-1}\pi_{n-1}(z)]-1\right|_{z\in S^+_{\sharp 1}}\\ &\leq C_1\left[1+\left\|\frac{D^2}{w}\right\|_{z\in S^+_{\sharp 1}}\right]\exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\}\end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large n with the constants C_1 and C_2 given in (3.57).

Now we can give the asymptotics for the first orthonormal Laurent and trigonometric polynomials on the unit circle with respect to a positive and analytic weight w.

Theorem 4.1. *Let w be a positive and analytic weight function on the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and*

$$(4.22)\qquad D(z)=\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}}\frac{\log w(\tau)}{\tau-z}d\tau\right\},\quad |z|>1.$$

If $\{1,\pi_n,\sigma_n\}$ denotes the system of the first orthonormal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle with respect to w, then

$$(4.23)\qquad a_n\sigma_n(z)=-\Lambda_n^{-1}\left[\frac{iz^n}{2b_n^2D(z)}R_{1,1}^{(n)}(z)-\frac{(\beta_n+i)z^{n+1}}{D(z)}R_{1,2}^{(n+1)}(z)\right]$$

and

$$(4.24)\qquad b_n\pi_n(z)=-\Lambda_n^{-1}\left[\frac{(1+\beta_ni)z^n}{2a_n^2D(z)}R_{1,1}^{(n)}(z)+\frac{z^{n+1}}{D(z)}R_{1,2}^{(n+1)}(z)\right]$$

uniformly hold for $|z|\geq R$ with any $R>1$, where $\Lambda_n=-\frac{1}{2}[a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_n^2)+b_n^{-2}]i\neq 0$, $R_{1,1}^{(n)}(z)=1+\mathcal{O}\big(e^{-C_2(2n-1)}\big)$, $R_{1,2}^{(n+1)}(z)=\mathcal{O}\big(e^{-C_2(2n+1)}\big)$ with $C_2=\log\big(1+\frac{3}{2}\epsilon\big)$ in which $0<\epsilon<\min\{\frac{1}{3},R-1\}$, and a_n,b_n,β_n are given in (2.17). Moreover,

(4.25)
$$\begin{cases}a_n^{-1}z^{-n-1}\sigma_n(z)\sim-\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_n^{-1}a_n^{-2}b_n^{-2}iz^{-1}D^{-1}(z)\ \text{ as }\ n\to\infty,\ \text{ when } a_n\geq 1,\\ a_nz^{-n-1}\sigma_n(z)\sim-\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_n^{-1}b_n^{-2}iz^{-1}D^{-1}(z)\ \text{ as }\ n\to\infty,\ \text{ when } 0<a_n<1\end{cases}$$

and

(4.26)
$$\begin{cases}b_n^{-1}z^{-n-1}\pi_n(z)\sim-\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_n^{-1}a_n^{-2}b_n^{-2}(1+\beta_ni)z^{-1}D^{-1}(z)\ \text{ as }\ n\to\infty,\ \text{ when } b_n\geq 1,\\ b_nz^{-n-1}\pi_n(z)\sim-\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_n^{-1}a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_ni)z^{-1}D^{-1}(z)\ \text{ as }\ n\to\infty,\ \text{ when } 0<b_n<1\end{cases}$$

uniformly hold for $|z|\geq R$ with any $R>1$. Here the asymptotic symbol $\sim$ is defined by $f\sim g$ if and only if $(f-g)\to 0$.

Proof. Since all the steepest descent transforms $\mathbf{Y} \mapsto \mathbf{D} \mapsto \mathbf{F} \mapsto \mathbf{S} \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ depend on n, now we explicitly replace $\mathbf{R}$ by $\mathbf{R}^{(n)}$ to indicate the n-dependence. Choose a small enough ϵ so that $\{z : |z| \geq R\} \subset S^{+}_{\sharp 2}$. Thus, by (4.3) and (4.4), we get

$$a_n\sigma_n(z) + (\beta_n + i)b_n\pi_n(z) = \frac{z^n}{D(z)}R^{(n)}_{1,1}(z) \tag{4.27}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}[a_n^{-1}(1 + \beta_n i)\sigma_n(z) - ib_n^{-1}\pi_n(z)] = -\frac{z^{n+1}}{D(z)}R^{(n+1)}_{1,2}(z). \tag{4.28}$$

Noting Remark 3.2, from (4.27) and (4.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_n\sigma_n(z) &= \Lambda_n^{-1}\begin{vmatrix} z^nD^{-1}(z)R^{(n)}_{1,1}(z) & \beta_n + i \\ -z^{n+1}D^{-1}(z)R^{(n+1)}_{1,2}(z) & -\frac{1}{2}b_n^{-2}i \end{vmatrix} \\ &= -\Lambda_n^{-1}\left[\frac{iz^n}{2b_n^2D(z)}R^{(n)}_{1,1}(z) - \frac{(\beta_n + i)z^{n+1}}{D(z)}R^{(n+1)}_{1,2}(z)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} b_n\pi_n(z) &= \Lambda_n^{-1}\begin{vmatrix} 1 & z^nD^{-1}(z)R^{(n)}_{1,1}(z) \\ \frac{1}{2}a_n^{-2}(1 + \beta_n i) & -z^{n+1}D^{-1}(z)R^{(n+1)}_{1,2}(z) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= -\Lambda_n^{-1}\left[\frac{(1 + \beta_n i)z^n}{2a_n^2D(z)}R^{(n)}_{1,1}(z) + \frac{z^{n+1}}{D(z)}R^{(n+1)}_{1,2}(z)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

where Λ_n is given in (3.34). By (3.56), we know that

$$R^{(n)}_{1,1}(z) = 1 + \mathcal{O}\big(e^{-C_2(2n-1)}\big) \quad \text{and} \quad R^{(n+1)}_{1,2}(z) = \mathcal{O}\big(e^{-C_2(2n+1)}\big) \tag{4.31}$$

uniformly hold for $|z| \geq R$ with any $R > 1$, where C_2 is given in (3.57) with $0 < \epsilon < \min\{\frac{1}{3}, R - 1\}$.

Now we turn to (4.25) and (4.26) and only verify (4.25). (4.26) follows similarly. The verification is divided into two cases.

Case I: $a_n \geq 1$. In this case, by (4.29),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|a_n^{-1}z^{-n-1}D(z)\sigma_n(z) + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_n^{-1}a_n^{-2}b_n^{-2}iz^{-1}\right| \\ \leq& C_1\left[\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda_n|^{-1}b_n^{-2}a_n^{-2}|z|^{-1} + |\Lambda_n|^{-1}a_n^{-2}\sqrt{1 + \beta_n^2}\right]\exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\} \\ <& C_1(2 + 1/R)\exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\} \end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

uniformly holds for $|z| \geq R$ with any $R > 1$ since $\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda_n|^{-1}b_n^{-2} < 1$, $\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda_n|^{-1}a_n^{-2}(1 + \beta_n^2) < 1$ and $a_n^{-1} \leq 1$, where C_1 and C_2 are given in (3.57) with $0 < \epsilon < \min\{\frac{1}{3}, R - 1\}$.

Case II. $0 < a_n < 1$. Again, by (4.29),

$$\begin{aligned}(4.33)\qquad &\left|a_n z^{-n-1} D(z)\sigma_n(z) + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_n^{-1} b_n^{-2} i z^{-1}\right| \\ &\le C_1\left[\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda_n|^{-1} b_n^{-2}|z|^{-1} + |\Lambda_n|^{-1}\big(a_n^{-2}\sqrt{1+\beta_n^2}\big)a_n^2\right]\exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\} \\ &< C_1(2+1/R)\exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\}\end{aligned}$$

uniformly holds for $|z| \ge R$ with any $R > 1$ since $\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda_n|^{-1} b_n^{-2} < 1$, $\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda_n|^{-1} a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_n^2) < 1$ and $0 < a_n < 1$, where C_1 and C_2 are given in (3.57) with $0 < \epsilon < \min\{\frac{1}{3}, R-1\}$.

So (4.25) follows from (4.32) and (4.33). □

Theorem 4.2. *Let w be a positive and analytic weight function on the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and*

$$(4.34)\qquad D(z) = \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\log w(\tau)}{\tau - z} d\tau\right\}, \quad |z| < 1.$$

If $\{1, \pi_n, \sigma_n\}$ denotes the system of the first orthonormal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle with respect to w, then

$$(4.35)\qquad a_n\sigma_n(z) = \Lambda_n^{-1}\left[\frac{i}{2b_n^2 z^{n-1} D(z)} R_{2,1}^{(n)}(z) - \frac{(\beta_n + i)}{z^n D(z)} R_{2,2}^{(n+1)}(z)\right]$$

and

$$(4.36)\qquad b_n\pi_n(z) = \Lambda_n^{-1}\left[\frac{(1+\beta_n i)}{2a_n^2 z^{n-1} D(z)} R_{2,1}^{(n)}(z) + \frac{1}{z^n D(z)} R_{2,2}^{(n+1)}(z)\right]$$

uniformly hold for $0 < |z| \le r$ with any $0 < r < 1$, where $\Lambda_n = -\frac{1}{2}[a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_n^2) + b_n^{-2}]i \ne 0$, $R_{2,1}^{(n)}(z) = \mathcal{O}\big(e^{-C_2(2n-1)}\big)$, $R_{2,2}^{(n+1)}(z) = 1 + \mathcal{O}\big(e^{-C_2(2n+1)}\big)$ with $C_2 = \log\big(1 + \frac{3}{2}\epsilon\big)$ in which $0 < \epsilon < \min\{\frac{1}{3}, 1-r\}$, and a_n, b_n, β_n are given in (2.17). In addition,

$$(4.37)\qquad \begin{cases} a_n^{-1} z^n \sigma_n(z) \sim -\Lambda_n^{-1} a_n^{-2}(\beta_n + i) D^{-1}(z) \ \text{ as } \ n \to \infty, \ \text{ when } a_n \ge 1, \\ a_n z^n \sigma_n(z) \sim -\Lambda_n^{-1}(\beta_n + i) D^{-1}(z) \ \text{ as } \ n \to \infty, \ \text{ when } 0 < a_n < 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(4.38)\qquad \begin{cases} b_n^{-1} z^n \pi_n(z) \sim \Lambda_n^{-1} b_n^{-2} D^{-1}(z) \ \text{ as } \ n \to \infty, \ \text{ when } b_n \ge 1, \\ b_n z^n \pi_n(z) \sim \Lambda_n^{-1} D^{-1}(z) \ \text{ as } \ n \to \infty, \ \text{ when } 0 < b_n < 1 \end{cases}$$

uniformly hold for $0 < |z| \le r$ with any $0 < r < 1$. Here the asymptotic symbol $\sim$ is defined by $f \sim g$ if and only if $(f - g) \to 0$.

Proof. Pick a small enough ϵ so that $\{z : 0 < |z| \le r\} \subset S_{\sharp 1}^-$. By (4.8) and (4.9),

$$(4.39)\qquad a_n\sigma_n(z) + (\beta_n + i) b_n \pi_n(z) = -\frac{1}{z^{n-1} D(z)} R_{2,1}^{(n)}(z),$$

and

$$(4.40)\qquad \frac{1}{2}[a_n^{-1}(1+\beta_n i)\sigma_n(z) - i b_n^{-1}\pi_n(z)] = \frac{1}{z^n D(z)} R_{2,2}^{(n+1)}(z).$$

Then,

$$a_n\sigma_n(z)=\Lambda_n^{-1}\begin{vmatrix}-z^{-n+1}D^{-1}(z)R_{2,1}^{(n)}(z) & \beta_n+i\\ z^{-n}D^{-1}(z)R_{2,2}^{(n+1)}(z) & -\frac{1}{2}b_n^{-2}i\end{vmatrix} \tag{4.41}$$

$$=\Lambda_n^{-1}\left[\frac{i}{2b_n^2z^{n-1}D(z)}R_{2,1}^{(n)}(z)-\frac{(\beta_n+i)}{z^nD(z)}R_{2,2}^{(n+1)}(z)\right]$$

and

$$b_n\pi_n(z)=\Lambda_n^{-1}\begin{vmatrix}1 & -z^{-n+1}D^{-1}(z)R_{2,1}^{(n)}(z)\\ \frac{1}{2}a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_ni) & z^{-n}D^{-1}(z)R_{2,2}^{(n+1)}(z)\end{vmatrix} \tag{4.42}$$

$$=\Lambda_n^{-1}\left[\frac{(1+\beta_ni)}{2a_n^2z^{n-1}D(z)}R_{2,1}^{(n)}(z)+\frac{1}{z^nD(z)}R_{2,2}^{(n+1)}(z)\right],$$

where Λ_n is given in (3.34). Again, by (3.56), we know

$$R_{2,1}^{(n)}(z)=\mathcal{O}\big(e^{-C_2(2n-1)}\big)\ \text{ and }\ R_{2,2}^{(n+1)}(z)=1+\mathcal{O}\big(e^{-C_2(2n+1)}\big) \tag{4.43}$$

uniformly hold for $0<|z|\le r$ with any $0<r<1$, where C_2 is given in (3.57) with $0<\epsilon<\min\{\frac{1}{3},1-r\}$.

Next we consider (4.37) and (4.38) and only verify (4.37). (4.38) follows similarly. It is also divided into two cases.

Case I: $a_n\ge 1$. In this case, by (4.41),

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|a_n^{-1}z^nD(z)\sigma_n(z)+\Lambda_n^{-1}a_n^{-2}(\beta_n+i)\big| \\ \le& C_1\left[\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda_n|^{-1}b_n^{-2}a_n^{-2}|z|+|\Lambda_n|^{-1}a_n^{-2}\sqrt{1+\beta_n^2}\right]\exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\}\\ <& C_1(2+r)\exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\}\end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

uniformly holds for $0<|z|\le r$ with any $0<r<1$ since $\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda_n|^{-1}b_n^{-2}<1$, $\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda_n|^{-1}a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_n^2)<1$ and $a_n^{-1}\le 1$, where C_1 and C_2 are given in (3.57) with $0<\epsilon<\min\{\frac{1}{3},1-r\}$.

Case II. $0<a_n<1$. In a similar way, by (4.41),

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|a_nz^nD(z)\sigma_n(z)+\Lambda_n^{-1}(\beta_n+i)\big| \\ \le& C_1\left[\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda_n|^{-1}b_n^{-2}|z|+|\Lambda_n|^{-1}\big(a_n^{-2}\sqrt{1+\beta_n^2}\big)a_n^2\right]\exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\}\\ <& C_1(2+r)\exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\}\end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

uniformly holds for $0<|z|\le r$ with any $0<r<1$ since $\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda_n|^{-1}b_n^{-2}<1$, $\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda_n|^{-1}a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_n^2)<1$ and $0<a_n<1$, where C_1 and C_2 are given in (3.57) with $0<\epsilon<\min\{\frac{1}{3},1-r\}$.

So (4.37) follows from (4.44) and (4.45). □

Theorem 4.3 (Asymptotics of Orthogonal Trigonometric Polynomials)**.** *Let w be a positive and analytic weight function on the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and*

$$D(t)=\exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\log w(t)-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}}\frac{\log w(\tau)}{\tau-t}d\tau\right\},\quad |t|=1. \tag{4.46}$$

If $\{1, \pi_n, \sigma_n\}$ denotes the system of the first orthonormal trigonometric polynomials on the unit circle with respect to w, then

$$a_n\sigma_n(\theta) = -\Lambda_n^{-1}\Big[\frac{1}{2}b_n^{-2}i\Big(\frac{e^{in\theta}}{D(e^{i\theta})}R_{1,1}^{(n)}(\theta) - \frac{D(e^{i\theta})}{e^{i(n-1)\theta}w(\theta)}R_{2,1}^{(n)}(\theta)\Big) - (\beta_n + i)\Big(\frac{e^{i(n+1)\theta}}{D(e^{i\theta})}R_{1,2}^{(n+1)}(\theta) - \frac{D(e^{i\theta})}{e^{in\theta}w(\theta)}R_{2,2}^{(n+1)}(\theta)\Big)\Big] \tag{4.47}$$

and

$$b_n\pi_n(\theta) = -\Lambda_n^{-1}\Big[\frac{1}{2}a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_n i)\Big(\frac{e^{in\theta}}{D(e^{i\theta})}R_{1,1}^{(n)}(\theta) - \frac{D(e^{i\theta})}{e^{i(n-1)\theta}w(\theta)}R_{2,1}^{(n)}(\theta)\Big) + \Big(\frac{e^{i(n+1)\theta}}{D(e^{i\theta})}R_{1,2}^{(n+1)}(\theta) - \frac{D(e^{i\theta})}{e^{in\theta}w(\theta)}R_{2,2}^{(n+1)}(\theta)\Big)\Big] \tag{4.48}$$

uniformly hold for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, where $\Lambda_n = -\frac{1}{2}[a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_n^2)+b_n^{-2}]i \neq 0$, $R_{1,1}^{(n)}(\theta) = 1+\mathcal{O}\big(e^{-C_2(2n-1)}\big)$, $R_{1,2}^{(n)}(\theta) = \mathcal{O}\big(e^{-C_2(2n-1)}\big)$, $R_{2,1}^{(n+1)}(\theta) = \mathcal{O}\big(e^{-C_2(2n+1)}\big)$, $R_{2,2}^{(n+1)}(\theta) = 1 + \mathcal{O}\big(e^{-C_2(2n+1)}\big)$ with $C_2 = \log\big(1+\frac{3}{2}\epsilon\big)$ in which $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{3}$, and a_n, b_n, β_n are given in (2.17). In addition,

(4.49)

$$\begin{cases} a_n^{-1}e^{-i(n+1)\theta}\sigma_n(\theta) \sim -\Lambda_n^{-1}\Big[\frac{1}{2}a_n^{-2}b_n^{-2}ie^{-i\theta}D^{-1}(e^{i\theta}) \\ \qquad\qquad +a_n^{-2}(\beta_n+i)e^{-i(2n+1)\theta}D(e^{i\theta})w^{-1}(\theta)\Big] \text{ as } n\to\infty, \text{ when } a_n \geq 1, \\ a_n e^{-i(n+1)\theta}\sigma_n(\theta) \sim -\Lambda_n^{-1}\Big[\frac{1}{2}b_n^{-2}ie^{-i\theta}D^{-1}(e^{i\theta}) \\ \qquad\qquad +(\beta_n+i)e^{-i(2n+1)\theta}D(e^{i\theta})w^{-1}(\theta)\Big] \text{ as } n\to\infty, \text{ when } 0 < a_n < 1 \end{cases}$$

and

(4.50)

$$\begin{cases} b_n^{-1}e^{-i(n+1)\theta}\pi_n(\theta) \sim -\Lambda_n^{-1}\Big[\frac{1}{2}a_n^{-2}b_n^{-2}(1+\beta_n i)e^{-i\theta}D^{-1}(e^{i\theta}) \\ \qquad\qquad -b_n^{-2}e^{-i(2n+1)\theta}D(e^{i\theta})w^{-1}(\theta)\Big] \text{ as } n\to\infty, \text{ when } b_n \geq 1, \\ b_n e^{-i(n+1)\theta}\pi_n(\theta) \sim -\Lambda_n^{-1}\Big[\frac{1}{2}a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_n i)e^{-i\theta}D^{-1}(e^{i\theta}) \\ \qquad\qquad -e^{-i(2n+1)\theta}D(e^{i\theta})w^{-1}(\theta)\Big] \text{ as } n\to\infty, \text{ when } 0 < b_n < 1 \end{cases}$$

uniformly hold for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Here the asymptotic symbol $\sim$ is defined by $f \sim g$ if and only if $(f-g) \to 0$.

Proof. For $z \in S_{\sharp 2}^-$, by (4.13) and (4.14),

$$a_n\sigma_n(z) + (\beta_n+i)b_n\pi_n(z) = \frac{z^n}{D(z)}R_{1,1}^{(n)}(z) - \frac{D(z)}{z^{n-1}w(z)}R_{2,1}^{(n)}(z) \tag{4.51}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}[a_n^{-1}(1+\beta_n i)\sigma_n(z) - ib_n^{-1}\pi_n(z)] \\ &= -\frac{z^{n+1}}{D(z)}R_{1,2}^{(n+1)}(z) + \frac{D(z)}{z^n w(z)}R_{2,2}^{(n+1)}(z). \end{aligned} \tag{4.52}$$

Then,

$$a_n\sigma_n(z) = -\Lambda_n^{-1}\Big[\frac{1}{2}b_n^{-2}i\Big(\frac{z^n}{D(z)}R_{1,1}^{(n)}(z) - \frac{D(z)}{z^{n-1}w(z)}R_{2,1}^{(n)}(z)\Big) - (\beta_n+i)\Big(\frac{z^{n+1}}{D(z)}R_{1,2}^{(n+1)}(z) - \frac{D(z)}{z^n w(z)}R_{2,2}^{(n+1)}(z)\Big)\Big] \tag{4.53}$$

and

$$b_n\pi_n(z) = -\Lambda_n^{-1}\Big[\frac{1}{2}a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_n i)\Big(\frac{z^n}{D(z)}R_{1,1}^{(n)}(z) - \frac{D(z)}{z^{n-1}w(z)}R_{2,1}^{(n)}(z)\Big) + \Big(\frac{z^{n+1}}{D(z)}R_{1,2}^{(n+1)}(z) - \frac{D(z)}{z^n w(z)}R_{2,2}^{(n+1)}(z)\Big)\Big] \tag{4.54}$$

where Λ_n is given in (3.34). Again, by (3.56), we know

$$R_{1,1}^{(n)}(z) = 1 + \mathcal{O}\big(e^{-C_2(2n-1)}\big), \quad R_{2,1}^{(n)}(z) = \mathcal{O}\big(e^{-C_2(2n-1)}\big), \tag{4.55}$$

and

$$R_{1,2}^{(n+1)}(z) = \mathcal{O}\big(e^{-C_2(2n+1)}\big), \quad R_{2,2}^{(n+1)}(z) = 1 + \mathcal{O}\big(e^{-C_2(2n+1)}\big) \tag{4.56}$$

uniformly hold for $z \in S_{\sharp 2}^-$, where C_2 is given in (3.57) with $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{3}$.

Let $t = e^{i\theta}$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Take z close to t, $z \in S_{\sharp 2}^-$, identifying $w(e^{i\theta})$ with $w(\theta)$ via the map $[0, 2\pi) \ni \theta \to e^{i\theta} \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, it follows that (4.47) and (4.48) uniformly hold for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

Next we turn to verify (4.49). (4.50) follows in an extremely similar way. It is again divided into two cases.

Case I: $a_n \geq 1$. In this case, by (4.53),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|a_n^{-1}z^{-n-1}\sigma_n(z) + \Lambda_n^{-1}\Big[\frac{1}{2}b_n^{-2}a_n^{-2}iz^{-1}D^{-1}(z) + a_n^{-2}(\beta_n+i)z^{-2n-1}D(z)w^{-1}(z)\Big]\Big| \\
\leq& C_1\Big[\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda_n|^{-1}b_n^{-2}a_n^{-2}|z|^{-1}\Big(|D^{-1}(z)| + |z|^{-2n+1}|D(z)||w^{-1}(z)|\Big) \\
&+ |\Lambda_n|^{-1}a_n^{-2}\sqrt{1+\beta_n^2}\Big(|D^{-1}(z)| + |z|^{-2n-1}|D(z)||w^{-1}(z)|\Big)\Big]\exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\} \\
<& 6C_1\left[\left\|\frac{1}{D}\right\|_{\partial\mathbb{D}} + \left\|\frac{D}{w}\right\|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}\right]\exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.57}$$

uniformly hold for $z \in S_{\sharp 2}^- = \{z : 1 < |z| < 1+\epsilon\}$ with small enough $\epsilon > 0$ since $\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda_n|^{-1}b_n^{-2} < 1$, $\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda_n|^{-1}a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_n^2) < 1$ and $a_n^{-1} \leq 1$, where C_1 and C_2 are given in (3.57).

Case II. $0 < a_n < 1$. In a similar way, by (4.53),

(4.58)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|a_n z^{-n-1}\sigma_n(z) + \Lambda_n^{-1}\Big[\frac{1}{2}b_n^{-2} i z^{-1} D^{-1}(z) + (\beta_n + i) z^{-2n-1} D(z) w^{-1}(z)\Big]\right| \\
\le & C_1\Big[\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda_n|^{-1} b_n^{-2} |z|^{-1}\Big(|D^{-1}(z)| + |z|^{-2n+1}|D(z)||w^{-1}(z)|\Big) \\
& + |\Lambda_n|^{-1}\big(a_n^{-2}\sqrt{1+\beta_n^2}\big) a_n^2\Big(|D^{-1}(z)| + |z|^{-2n-1}|D(z)||w^{-1}(z)|\Big)\Big] \exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\} \\
< & 6C_1\left[\left\|\frac{1}{D}\right\|_{\partial\mathbb{D}} + \left\|\frac{D}{w}\right\|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}\right]\exp\{-C_2(2n-1)\}
\end{aligned}$$

uniformly hold for $z \in S_{\sharp 2}^- = \{z : 1 < |z| < 1 + \epsilon\}$ with small enough $\epsilon > 0$ since $\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda_n|^{-1} b_n^{-2} < 1$, $\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda_n|^{-1} a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_n^2) < 1$ and $0 < a_n < 1$, where C_1 and C_2 are given in (3.57).

So (4.49) follows from (4.57) and (4.58) when $z \in S_{\sharp 2}^-$ tends to $t = e^{i\theta}$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. □

Remark 4.4. Let

$$D(t) = \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\log w(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\log w(\tau)}{\tau - t} d\tau\right\}, \quad |t| = 1. \tag{4.59}$$

By (4.18) and (4.19), we can also obtain the asymptotics for orthogonal trigonometric polynomials on the unit circle with respect to the positive and analytic weight w in terms of a different form. And it is easy to check that they are essentially equivalent.

5. Relations with Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle

In this section, we establish the relationship between orthogonal trigonometric polynomials (OTP) and orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle (OPUC). That is, we find two transform formulae which relate orthogonal trigonometric polynomials and orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle to each other. By the transform formulae and the theory of OPUC , we obtain some recurrent formulae, Christoffel-Darboux formula and some properties of zeros for orthogonal trigonometric polynomials on the unit cirlcle.

5.1. OPUC Solution for Characterization of OTP.

In Section 3.1, we have given the characterization of orthogonal trigonometric polynomials by a MRP (i.e., the MRP (3.1)) and obtained its unique solution (3.3) in terms of orthogonal trigonometric polynomials. In what follows, one will find that the unique solution can be also expressed by orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. So we find out the relationship between orthogonal trigonometric polynomials and orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle by the uniqueness of solution of the characterization.

Theorem 5.1. *The MRP (3.1) is uniquely solvable and its unique solution is*

$$\begin{pmatrix} z\Phi_{2n-1}(z) & -\kappa_{2n-2}^2 z\Phi_{2n-2}^*(z) \\ \mathbf{C}\big[\tau\Phi_{2n-1}\big](z) & -\kappa_{2n-2}^2\mathbf{C}\big[\tau\Phi_{2n-2}^*\big](z) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.1}$$

where Φ_{2n-1} is the monic orthogonal polynomial of order $2n-1$ on the unit circle with respect to the weight w, κ_{2n-2} is the leading coefficient of the orthonormal polynomial of order $2n-2$ on the unit circle and $\kappa_{2n-2} = \|\Phi_{2n-2}\|_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}$, and Φ_{2n-2}^*

is the reversed polynomial of the monic orthogonal polynomial Φ_{2n-2} *of order* $2n-2$ *on the unit circle, and the Cauchy singular integral operator* $\mathbf{C}$ *is given by (2.35).*

Proof. As in Section 3.1, the boundary value conditions in (3.1) can be explicitly written as

$$\begin{cases} Y_{1,1}^+(t) = \ Y_{1,1}^-(t), & t \in \partial\mathbb{D}, \\ Y_{2,1}^+(t) = \ t^{-2n}w(t)Y_{1,1}^-(t) + Y_{2,1}^-(t), & t \in \partial\mathbb{D}, \\ Y_{1,2}^+(t) = \ Y_{1,2}^-(t), & t \in \partial\mathbb{D}, \\ Y_{2,2}^+(t) = \ t^{-2n}w(t)Y_{1,2}^-(t) + Y_{2,2}^-(t), & t \in \partial\mathbb{D}. \end{cases}$$

The condition for the behavior of the principal part at infinity can be clearly written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[z^{-2n}Y_{1,1},\infty](z) & \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[z^{-2n}Y_{1,2},\infty](z) \\ \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[z^{2n-1}Y_{2,1},\infty](z) & \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{P}[z^{2n-1}Y_{2,2},\infty](z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Obviously, $Y_{1,1}(z)$ is a monic polynomial of order $2n$. Note that $Y_{1,1}(0) = 0$, now we claim

$$Y_{1,1}(z) = zQ_{1,1}(z), \quad Q_{1,1} \in \Pi_{2n-1}, \tag{5.2}$$

where Π_{2n-1} denotes the set of all complex polynomials of order at most $2n-1$, i.e., $Q_{1,1}$ is a monic polynomial of order $2n-1$.

Noting the boundary value condition for $Y_{2,1}$, by (5.2), we have

$$Y_{2,1}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\tau^{-2n+1}Q_{1,1}(\tau)w(\tau)}{\tau - z}d\tau \tag{5.3}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\tau^{-2n+2}Q_{1,1}(\tau)w(\tau)}{\tau - z}\frac{d\tau}{\tau}. \tag{5.4}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{\tau - z} = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\tau^k}{z^{k+1}}$$

holds for sufficiently large z, by (5.4), from the condition at infinity for $Y_{2,1}$, it is easy to see that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \tau^{-2n+2+k}Q_{1,1}(\tau)w(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{\tau} = 0, \ k = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n-2. \tag{5.5}$$

This shows that

$$\langle \tau^k, Q_{1,1}\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n-2. \tag{5.6}$$

Therefore,

$$Q_{1,1}(z) = \Phi_{2n-1}(z) \tag{5.7}$$

is just the monic orthogonal polynomial of order $2n-1$ on the unit circle. Hence,

$$Y_{1,1}(z) = z\Phi_{2n-1}(z) \tag{5.8}$$

and

$$Y_{2,1}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\tau\Phi_{2n-1}(\tau)w(\tau)}{\tau - z}d\tau. \tag{5.9}$$

Similarly, $Y_{1,2}(z)$ is a polynomial of order $2n-1$. All and the same, note that $Y_{1,2}(0)=0$, we assert

$$Y_{1,2}(z) = zQ_{1,2}(z), \quad Q_{1,2} \in \Pi_{2n-2}. \tag{5.10}$$

Thus we get

$$Y_{2,2}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\tau^{-2n+1}Q_{1,2}(\tau)w(\tau)}{\tau - z}d\tau \tag{5.11}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\tau^{-2n+2}Q_{1,2}(\tau)w(\tau)}{\tau - z}\frac{d\tau}{\tau}. \tag{5.12}$$

By the condition at infinity for $Y_{2,2}$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \tau^{-2n+2+k}Q_{1,2}(\tau)w(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{\tau} = 0, \ k = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n-3 \tag{5.13}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} Q_{1,2}(\tau)w(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{\tau} = -1. \tag{5.14}$$

(5.13) and (5.14) are equivalent to

$$\langle \tau^k, Q_{1,2}\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2 \tag{5.15}$$

and

$$\langle 1, Q_{1,2}\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = -1. \tag{5.16}$$

From (5.15) and (5.16), we know that (see [25])

$$Q_{1,2}(z) = -\kappa_{2n-2}^2\Phi_{2n-2}^*(z), \tag{5.17}$$

where κ_{2n-2} is the leading coefficient of the orthonormal polynomial of order $2n-2$ on the unit circle with respect to the weight w and $\kappa_{2n-2} = \|\Phi_{2n-2}\|_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}$, and Φ_{2n-2}^* is just the reversed polynomial of the monic orthogonal polynomial Φ_{2n-2} of order $2n-2$ on the unit circle. So

$$Y_{1,2}(z) = -\kappa_{2n-2}^2 z\Phi_{2n-2}^*(z) \tag{5.18}$$

and

$$Y_{2,2}(z) = -\frac{\kappa_{2n-2}^2}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\tau\Phi_{2n-2}^*(\tau)w(\tau)}{\tau - z}d\tau. \tag{5.19}$$

By the uniqueness of OPUC, we complete the proof of Theorem 5.1. □

5.2. **Relations of OTP and OPUC.** Theorem 3.1 shows that the unique solution of the characterization of orthogonal trigonometric polynomials can be expressed in terms of orthogonal trigonometric polynomials. However, Theorem 5.1 shows that the unique solution of the characterization of orthogonal trigonometric polynomials can be also expressed in terms of orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. By the uniqueness of solution, the relationship between OTP ans OPUC is implied in Theorem 3.1 and 5.1.

In general, we have

Theorem 5.2. *Let μ be a nontrivial probability measure on the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| = 1\}$, $\{1, \pi_n, \sigma_n\}$ be the unique system of the first orthonormal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle with respect to μ, and $\{\Phi_n\}$ be the unique system of the monic orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle with respect to μ. Then for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\Phi_{2n-1}(z) = z^{n-1}[a_n\sigma_n(z) + (\beta_n + i)b_n\pi_n(z)] \tag{5.20}$$

and

$$\kappa_{2n}^2\Phi_{2n}^*(z) = \frac{1}{2}z^n[a_n^{-1}(1 + \beta_n i)\sigma_n(z) - ib_n^{-1}\pi_n(z)], \tag{5.21}$$

where κ_{2n} is the leading coefficient of the orthonormal polynomial of order $2n$ on the unit circle with respect to μ and $\kappa_{2n} = \|\Phi_{2n}\|_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}$, and a_n, b_n, β_n are given in (2.17). By the convention in Section 2.1, (5.21) also holds when $n = 0$.

Proof. We only verify (5.20). (5.21) follows similarly. Let

$$Q(z) = z^{n-1}[a_n\sigma_n(z) + (\beta_n + i)b_n\pi_n(z)]. \tag{5.22}$$

By straightforward calculations, $Q \in \Pi_{2n-1}$ and it is easy to see that its leading coefficient is 1 as well as

$$\langle \tau^k, Q\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n-2. \tag{5.23}$$

Therefore,

$$Q(z) = \Phi_{2n-1}(z). \tag{5.24}$$

So

$$\Phi_{2n-1}(z) = z^{n-1}[a_n\sigma_n(z) + (\beta_n + i)b_n\pi_n(z)]. \qquad \square$$

Remark 5.3. In fact, by (5.20) and (5.21), the estimations (4.5), (4.6), (4.10), (4.11), (4.15), (4.16), (4.20) and (4.21) in Section 4 give the asymptotics of $\Phi_{2n-1}(z)$ and $\Phi_{2n-2}^*(z)$ in $\mathbb{C}$ which were also done due to the authors by Riemann-Hilbert approach in [10]. The same work of a different form was also independently given by Martínez-Finkelshtein, McLaughlin and Saff [21] at almost the same time.

Corollary 5.4.

$$\kappa_{2n}^2 = \frac{1}{4}[a_n^{-2}(1 + \beta_n^2) + b_n^{-2}]. \tag{5.25}$$

Proof. Take $z = 0$, since $\Phi_{2n}^*(0) = 1$, then (5.25) follows from (2.8), (2.10), (2.12), (2.14), (2.15), (2.17) and (5.21). $\square$

Remark 5.5. Recalling the determinant introduced in Remark 3.2, Corollary 5.4 shows that

$$\Lambda_n = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_{1,n} & \lambda_{2,n} \\ -\lambda_{3,n} & -\lambda_{4,n} \end{vmatrix} = -2\kappa_{2n}^2 i. \tag{5.26}$$

As $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is restricted to the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D}$, we have

Theorem 5.6 (OTP and OPUC)**.** *Let μ be a nontrivial probability measure on the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D} = \{e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}$, $\{1, \pi_n, \sigma_n\}$ be the unique system of the first orthonormal trigonometric polynomials with respect to μ, and $\{\Phi_n\}$ be the unique system of the monic orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle with respect to μ. Then for any $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\Phi_{2n-1}(e^{i\theta}) = e^{i(n-1)\theta}[a_n\sigma_n(\theta) + (\beta_n + i)b_n\pi_n(\theta)] \tag{5.27}$$

and

$$\kappa_{2n}^2\Phi_{2n}^*(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}e^{in\theta}[a_n^{-1}(1+\beta_n i)\sigma_n(\theta) - ib_n^{-1}\pi_n(\theta)], \tag{5.28}$$

where κ_{2n} is the leading coefficient of the orthonormal polynomial of order $2n$ on the unit circle with respect to μ and $\kappa_{2n} = \|\Phi_{2n}\|_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}$, and a_n, b_n, β_n are given in (2.17). (5.28) also holds as $n = 0$ by the convention in Section 2.1.

Corollary 5.7. *Let μ be a nontrivial probability measure on the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D} = \{e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}$, $\{1, \pi_n, \sigma_n\}$ be the unique system of the first orthonormal trigonometric polynomials with respect to μ, then $\pi_n(\theta)$ and $\sigma_n(\theta)$ have no any common zero on $[0, 2\pi)$.*

Proof. It is well known that all zeros of OPUC are located in the open unit disc. By this fact, Corollary 5.7 follows from (5.27) or (5.28). □

5.3. **Recurrence and Christoffel-Darboux Formulae.** Theorem 5.2 and 5.6 give the relationship between orthogonal trigonometric polynomials and orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. In view of the theory of OPUC, we can establish a complete theory for orthogonal trigonometric polynomials. In this section, as examples, we give some recurrent formulae and an analogue of Christoffel-Darboux formula for orthogonal trigonometric polynomials.

To do so, we introduce reflectional sets, reflectional and auto-reflectional functions for the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D}$.

Definition 5.8. A set Σ is called a reflectional set for the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D}$ if both $z \in \Sigma$ and $1/\overline{z} \in \Sigma$, or simply a reflectional set, in which z and $1/\overline{z}$ are called reflection to each other. For example, $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is a reflectional set for the unit circle.

Definition 5.9. If f is defined on a reflectional set Σ, set

$$f_*(z) = \overline{f(1/\overline{z})},\ z \in \Sigma, \tag{5.29}$$

then f_* is the reflectional function of f for the unit circle, simply reflection.

Definition 5.10. If f is defined on a reflectional set Σ such that

$$f(z) = f_*(z),\ z \in \Sigma, \tag{5.30}$$

then f is called an auto-reflectional function for the unit circle, simply auto-reflection.

Proposition 5.11. *Let μ be a nontrivial probability measure on the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| = 1\}$, $\{1, \pi_n, \sigma_n\}$ be the unique system of the first orthonormal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle with respect to μ, then π_n, σ_n are auto-reflectional in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof. It obviously follows from the fact that $\mu_n^{(0)}$ is auto-reflectional in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $\mu_n^{(0)}$ is given in (2.8). □

Theorem 5.12 (Recurrence for Orthogonal Laurent Polynomials)**.** *Let μ be a nontrivial probability measure on the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| = 1\}$, $\{1, \pi_n, \sigma_n\}$ be the unique system of the first orthonormal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle with respect to μ. Then for any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &a_{n+1}\sigma_{n+1}(z) + (\beta_{n+1} + i)b_{n+1}\pi_{n+1}(z) \\ =&\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{2n}^{-2}a_n^{-1}\big[z(1-\beta_n i) - \overline{\alpha}_{2n}(1+\beta_n i)\big]\sigma_n(z) + \frac{i}{2}\kappa_{2n}^{-2}b_n^{-1}(z + \overline{\alpha}_{2n})\pi_n(z) \end{aligned} \tag{5.31}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \Re\alpha_{2n-1} = \frac{1}{4}\kappa_{2n}^{-2}[b_n^{-2} - a_n^{-2}(1-\beta_n^2)], \\ \Im\alpha_{2n-1} = -\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{2n}^{-2}a_n^{-2}\beta_n, \end{cases} \tag{5.32}$$

where κ_{2n} is the leading coefficient of the orthonormal polynomial of order $2n$ on the unit circle with respect to μ, α_{2n-1}, α_{2n} are Verblunsky coefficients, and a_n, b_n, β_n are given in (2.17). From (5.25) and (5.32), both κ_{2n-1} and κ_{2n} can be explicitly expressed as functions of a_n, b_n and β_n since $(1-|\alpha_{2n-1}|)^{1/2} = \kappa_{2n-1}/\kappa_{2n}$, so do all Verblunsky coefficients α_n, $n = 0, 1, \ldots$.

Proof. By Proposition 5.11 and (5.20), we have

$$\Phi_{2n-1}^*(z) = z^n[a_n\sigma_n(z) + (\beta_n - i)b_n\pi_n(z)] \tag{5.33}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Since

$$\Phi_{2n}^*(z) = \Phi_{2n-1}^*(z) - \alpha_{2n-1}z\Phi_{2n-1}(z), \tag{5.34}$$

where α_{2n-1} are Verblunsky coefficients, by (5.20), (5.21) and (5.33),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{2n}^{-2}a_n^{-1}(1+\beta_n i) - (1-\alpha_{2n-1})a_n\right]\sigma_n(z) \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{2n}^{-2}b_n^{-1}i + b_n\big[(\beta_n - i) - \alpha_{2n-1}(\beta_n + i)\big]\right]\pi_n(z) \end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$. Since σ_n and π_n have no common zeros on the unit circle, therefore

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{2n-1} + \frac{1}{2}a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_n i)\kappa_{2n}^{-2} = 1, \\ (\beta_n + i)\alpha_{2n-1} - \frac{1}{2}b_n^{-2}i\kappa_{2n}^{-2} = \beta_n - i. \end{cases} \tag{5.36}$$

By simple calculations, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \Re\alpha_{2n-1} = \frac{1}{4}\kappa_{2n}^{-2}[b_n^{-2} - a_n^{-2}(1-\beta_n^2)], \\ \Im\alpha_{2n-1} = -\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{2n}^{-2}a_n^{-2}\beta_n. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, by (5.20), (5.21) and Proposition 5.11,

$$\Phi_{2n+1}(z) = z^n[a_{n+1}\sigma_{n+1}(z) + (\beta_{n+1} + i)b_{n+1}\pi_{n+1}(z)] \tag{5.37}$$

and

$$\Phi_{2n}(z) = \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{2n}^{-2}z^n[a_n^{-1}(1-\beta_n i)\sigma_n(z) + ib_n^{-1}\pi_n(z)]. \tag{5.38}$$

Since

$$\Phi_{2n+1}(z) = z\Phi_{2n}(z) - \overline{\alpha}_{2n}\Phi_{2n}^*(z), \tag{5.39}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} &a_{n+1}\sigma_{n+1}(z) + (\beta_{n+1} + i)b_{n+1}\pi_{n+1}(z) \\ =&\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{2n}^{-2}a_n^{-1}\big[z(1-\beta_n i) - \overline{\alpha}_{2n}(1+\beta_n i)\big]\sigma_n(z) + \frac{i}{2}\kappa_{2n}^{-2}b_n^{-1}(z + \overline{\alpha}_{2n})\pi_n(z) \end{aligned}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$. □

Remark 5.13. (5.31) gives a four-terms recurrence for the first orthogonal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle. Similarly, we can get a four-terms recurrent formula for the second orthogonal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle. However, in [11], Du and Guo give a six-terms recurrent formula for the second orthogonal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle. This difference maybe implies some identical properties about the coefficients appearing in (5.31) and the recurrent formula there. (5.32) relates the leading coefficients and Verblunsky coefficients of OPUC to some coefficients of the first orthogonal Laurent or trigonometric polynomials on the unit circle (mainly, a_n, b_n and β_n).

By the Christoffel-Darboux formula (simply, CD formula) for OPUC and Theorem 5.2, we have

Theorem 5.14 (CD formula for Orthogonal Laurent Polynomials)**.** *Let μ be a nontrivial probability measure on the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| = 1\}$, $\{1, \pi_n, \sigma_n\}$ be the unique system of the first orthonormal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle with respect to μ. Then for any $z, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\overline{\zeta}z \neq 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,*

$$S_n^O(\zeta, z) + S_n^E(\zeta, z) = (1 - \overline{\zeta}z)^{-1} D_{2n+1}^O(\zeta, z) \tag{5.40}$$

and

$$S_n^O(\zeta, z) + S_{n-1}^E(\zeta, z) = (1 - \overline{\zeta}z)^{-1} D_{2n}^E(\zeta, z), \tag{5.41}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^O(\zeta, z) =& \sum_{j=1}^{n} \kappa_{2j-1}^2 \overline{\zeta}^{j-1} z^{j-1} \Big[a_j^2 \overline{\sigma_j(\zeta)} \sigma_j(z) + b_j^2 (1 + \beta_j^2) \overline{\pi_j(\zeta)} \pi_j(z) \\ &+ a_j b_j \big\{ (\beta_j + i) \overline{\sigma_j(\zeta)} \pi_j(z) + (\beta_j - i) \overline{\pi_j(\zeta)} \sigma_j(z) \big\} \Big], \end{aligned} \tag{5.42}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^E(\zeta, z) =& \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \kappa_{2j}^{-2} \overline{\zeta}^{j} z^{j} \Big[a_j^{-2} (1 + \beta_j^2) \overline{\sigma_j(\zeta)} \sigma_j(z) + b_j^{-2} \overline{\pi_j(\zeta)} \pi_j(z) \\ &- a_j^{-1} b_j^{-1} \big\{ (\beta_j - i) \overline{\sigma_j(\zeta)} \pi_j(z) + (\beta_j + i) \overline{\pi_j(\zeta)} \sigma_j(z) \big\} \Big], \end{aligned} \tag{5.43}$$

$$D_{2n+1}^O(\zeta, z) = -2i \kappa_{2n+1}^2 a_{n+1} b_{n+1} \overline{\zeta}^n z^n \Big\{ \overline{\sigma_{n+1}(\zeta)} \pi_{n+1}(z) - \overline{\pi_{n+1}(\zeta)} \sigma_{n+1}(z) \Big\} \tag{5.44}$$

and

$$D_{2n}^E(\zeta, z) = -i \kappa_{2n}^{-2} a_n^{-1} b_n^{-1} \overline{\zeta}^n z^n \Big\{ \overline{\sigma_n(\zeta)} \pi_n(z) - \overline{\pi_n(\zeta)} \sigma_n(z) \Big\} \tag{5.45}$$

in which κ_{2n+1} and κ_{2n} only depend on a_n, b_n and β_n by (5.25), (5.32) and $(1 - |\alpha_{2n+1}|)^{1/2} = \kappa_{2n+1}/\kappa_{2n+2}$.

Proof. By (5.20), (5.21), (5.33) and (5.38), for $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{2n-1}(z) = \kappa_{2n-1} z^{n-1} [a_n \sigma_n(z) + (\beta_n + i) b_n \pi_n(z)], \\ \varphi_{2n-1}^*(z) = \kappa_{2n-1} z^{n} [a_n \sigma_n(z) + (\beta_n - i) b_n \pi_n(z)], \end{cases} \tag{5.46}$$

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{2n}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{2n}^{-1} z^{n} [a_n^{-1} (1 - \beta_n i) \sigma_n(z) + i b_n^{-1} \pi_n(z)], \\ \varphi_{2n}^*(z) = \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{2n}^{-1} z^{n} [a_n^{-1} (1 + \beta_n i) \sigma_n(z) - i b_n^{-1} \pi_n(z)]. \end{cases} \tag{5.47}$$

Note that

$$S_n^O(\zeta,z)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\overline{\varphi_{2j-1}(\zeta)}\varphi_{2j-1}(z),\ \ S_n^E(\zeta,z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\overline{\varphi_{2j}(\zeta)}\varphi_{2j}(z), \tag{5.48}$$

$$D_{2n+1}^O(\zeta,z)=\overline{\varphi_{2n+1}^*(\zeta)}\varphi_{2n+1}^*(z)-\overline{\varphi_{2n+1}(\zeta)}\varphi_{2n+1}(z) \tag{5.49}$$

and

$$D_{2n}^E(\zeta,z)=\overline{\varphi_{2n}^*(\zeta)}\varphi_{2n}^*(z)-\overline{\varphi_{2n}(\zeta)}\varphi_{2n}(z). \tag{5.50}$$

Applying the CD formulae (2.31) and (2.32) for OPUC, we get

$$S_n^O(\zeta,z)+S_n^E(\zeta,z)=(1-\overline{\zeta}z)^{-1}D_{2n+1}^O(\zeta,z) \tag{5.51}$$

and

$$S_n^O(\zeta,z)+S_{n-1}^E(\zeta,z)=(1-\overline{\zeta}z)^{-1}D_{2n}^E(\zeta,z). \tag{5.52}$$

□

Restricting z,ζ to the unit circle, Theorem 5.12 and 5.14 become

Theorem 5.15 (Recurrence for Orthogonal Trigonometric Polynomials)**.** *Let μ be a nontrivial probability measure on the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D}=\{e^{i\theta}:\theta\in[0,2\pi)\}$, $\{1,\pi_n,\sigma_n\}$ be the unique system of the first orthonormal trigonometric polynomials with respect to μ. Then for any $\theta\in[0,2\pi)$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&a_{n+1}\sigma_{n+1}(\theta)+(\beta_{n+1}+i)b_{n+1}\pi_{n+1}(\theta)\\ =&\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{2n}^{-2}a_n^{-1}\big[e^{i\theta}(1-\beta_n i)-\overline{\alpha}_{2n}(1+\beta_n i)\big]\sigma_n(\theta)+\frac{i}{2}\kappa_{2n}^{-2}b_n^{-1}(e^{i\theta}+\overline{\alpha}_{2n})\pi_n(\theta),\end{aligned} \tag{5.53}$$

where κ_{2n} is the leading coefficient of the orthonormal polynomial of order $2n$ on the unit circle with respect to μ, α_{2n} are Verblunsky coefficients, and a_n, b_n, β_n are given in (2.17). From (5.25) and (5.32), both κ_{2n-1} and κ_{2n} can be explicitly expressed as functions of a_n, b_n and β_n since $(1-|\alpha_{2n-1}|)^{1/2}=\kappa_{2n-1}/\kappa_{2n}$, so do all Verblunsky coefficients α_n, $n=0,1,\dots$.

Theorem 5.16 (CD formula for Orthogonal Trigonometric Polynomials)**.** *Let μ be a nontrivial probability measure on the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D}=\{e^{i\theta}:\theta\in[0,2\pi)\}$, $\{1,\pi_n,\sigma_n\}$ be the unique system of the first orthonormal tirgonometric polynomials with respect to μ. Then for any $\vartheta,\theta\in[0,2\pi)$ with $\vartheta\neq\theta$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$,*

$$S_n^O(\vartheta,\theta)+S_n^E(\vartheta,\theta)=(1-e^{i(\theta-\vartheta)})^{-1}D_{2n+1}^O(\vartheta,\theta) \tag{5.54}$$

and

$$S_n^O(\vartheta,\theta)+S_{n-1}^E(\vartheta,\theta)=(1-e^{i(\theta-\vartheta)})^{-1}D_{2n}^E(\vartheta,\theta), \tag{5.55}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}S_n^O(\vartheta,\theta)=&\sum_{j=1}^{n}\kappa_{2j-1}^2e^{i(j-1)(\theta-\vartheta)}\Big[a_j^2\sigma_j(\vartheta)\sigma_j(\theta)+b_j^2(1+\beta_j^2)\pi_j(\vartheta)\pi_j(\theta)\\ &+a_jb_j\big\{(\beta_j+i)\sigma_j(\vartheta)\pi_j(\theta)+(\beta_j-i)\pi_j(\vartheta)\sigma_j(\theta)\big\}\Big],\end{aligned} \tag{5.56}$$

$$\begin{aligned}S_n^E(\vartheta,\theta)=&\frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\kappa_{2j}^{-2}e^{ij(\theta-\vartheta)}\Big[a_j^{-2}(1+\beta_j^2)\sigma_j(\vartheta)\sigma_j(\theta)+b_j^{-2}\pi_j(\vartheta)\pi_j(\theta)\\ &-a_j^{-1}b_j^{-1}\big\{(\beta_j-i)\sigma_j(\vartheta)\pi_j(\theta)+(\beta_j+i)\pi_j(\vartheta)\sigma_j(\theta)\big\}\Big],\end{aligned} \tag{5.57}$$

(5.58)
$$D^O_{2n+1}(\vartheta,\theta) = -2i\kappa^2_{2n+1}a_{n+1}b_{n+1}e^{in(\theta-\vartheta)}\Big\{\sigma_{n+1}(\vartheta)\pi_{n+1}(\theta) - \pi_{n+1}(\vartheta)\sigma_{n+1}(\theta)\Big\}$$

and

$$D^E_{2n}(\vartheta,\theta) = -i\kappa^{-2}_{2n}a_n^{-1}b_n^{-1}e^{in(\theta-\vartheta)}\Big\{\sigma_n(\vartheta)\pi_n(\theta) - \pi_n(\vartheta)\sigma_n(\theta)\Big\} \tag{5.59}$$

in which κ_{2n+1} and κ_{2n} only depend on a_n, b_n and β_n by (5.25), (5.32) and $(1-|\alpha_{2n+1}|)^{1/2} = \kappa_{2n+1}/\kappa_{2n+2}$.

5.4. **Zeros of Orthogonal Trigonometric Polynomials.** It is well known that all zeros of orthogonal trigonometric polynomials $\sigma_n(\theta)$ and $\pi_n(\theta)$ are located on $[0,2\pi)$ and they are simple [7, 11]. Namely, σ_n and π_n possess $2n$ simple zeros in $[0,2\pi)$, n of which, $\theta_1,\theta_2,\ldots,\theta_n$; $\theta'_1,\theta'_2,\ldots,\theta'_n$ are located in $[0,\pi)$ and the others are just $\pi+\theta_1,\pi+\theta_2,\ldots,\pi+\theta_n$; $\pi+\theta'_1,\pi+\theta'_2,\ldots,\pi+\theta'_n$. The above Corollary 5.7 shows that $\theta_k \neq \theta'_l$ for any $k,l = 1,2,\ldots,n$.

Moreover, by (5.27) and (5.28), we have

Theorem 5.17. *Let μ be a nontrivial probability measure on the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D} = \{e^{i\theta} : \theta\in[0,2\pi)\}$, $\{1,\pi_n,\sigma_n\}$ be the unique system of the first orthonormal trigonometric polynomials with respect to μ, and $\{\Phi_n\}$ be the unique system of the monic orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle with respect to μ, $\theta_1,\theta_2,\ldots,\theta_n$, $\pi+\theta_1,\pi+\theta_2,\ldots,\pi+\theta_n$ be $2n$ simple zeros of σ_n and $\theta'_1,\theta'_2,\ldots,\theta'_n$, $\pi+\theta'_1,\pi+\theta'_2,\ldots,\pi+\theta'_n$ be $2n$ simple zeros of π_n with $\theta_j,\theta'_j\in[0,\pi)$, $j=1,2,\ldots,n$. Then for any $1\le j\le n$,*

$$a_n^{-1} = \frac{e^{i(n-1)\theta'_j}\sigma_n(\theta'_j)}{\Phi_{2n-1}(e^{i\theta'_j})} = (-1)^{n-1}\frac{e^{i(n-1)\theta'_j}\sigma_n(\pi+\theta'_j)}{\Phi_{2n-1}(-e^{i\theta'_j})}, \tag{5.60}$$

$$(\beta_n+i)^{-1}b_n^{-1} = \frac{e^{i(n-1)\theta_j}\pi_n(\theta_j)}{\Phi_{2n-1}(e^{i\theta_j})} = (-1)^{n-1}\frac{e^{i(n-1)\theta_j}\pi_n(\pi+\theta_j)}{\Phi_{2n-1}(-e^{i\theta_j})}, \tag{5.61}$$

$$2\kappa^2_{2n}a_n(1+\beta_n i)^{-1} = \frac{e^{in\theta'_j}\sigma_n(\theta'_j)}{\Phi^*_{2n}(e^{i\theta'_j})} = (-1)^n\frac{e^{in\theta'_j}\sigma_n(\pi+\theta'_j)}{\Phi^*_{2n}(-e^{i\theta'_j})} \tag{5.62}$$

and

$$2\kappa^2_{2n}b_n i = \frac{e^{in\theta_j}\pi_n(\theta_j)}{\Phi^*_{2n}(e^{i\theta_j})} = (-1)^n\frac{e^{in\theta_j}\pi_n(\pi+\theta_j)}{\Phi^*_{2n}(-e^{i\theta_j})}, \tag{5.63}$$

where κ_{2n} is the leading coefficient of the orthonormal polynomial of order $2n$ on the unit circle with respect to μ and $\kappa_{2n} = \|\Phi_{2n}\|^{-1}_{\mathbb{C}}$, and a_n,b_n,β_n are given in (2.17).

Proof. It easily follows from (5.27) and (5.28) since σ_n and π_n have no common zeros by Corollary 5.7. □

Remark 5.18. In fact, in terms of the zeros of orthogonal trigonometric polynomials, the above theorem gives the solutions of four classes of equations as follows

$$e^{i(n-1)x}\sigma_n(x) - a_n^{-1}\Phi_{2n-1}(e^{ix}) = 0, \tag{5.64}$$

$$e^{inx}\sigma_n(x) - 2\kappa^2_{2n}a_n(1+\beta_n i)^{-1}\Phi^*_{2n}(e^{ix}) = 0, \tag{5.65}$$

$$e^{i(n-1)x}\pi_n(x) - (\beta_n + i)^{-1} b_n^{-1} \Phi_{2n-1}(e^{ix}) = 0 \tag{5.66}$$

and

$$e^{inx}\pi_n(x) - 2\kappa_{2n}^2 b_n i \Phi_{2n}^*(e^{ix}) = 0, \tag{5.67}$$

where $x \in [0, 2\pi)$.

Noting the determinant Λ_n introduced in Remark 3.2, by Theorem 5.17, we can get much more properties of zeros. For example, we have

Theorem 5.19. *Let μ be a nontrivial probability measure on the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D} = \{e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}$, $\{1, \pi_n, \sigma_n\}$ be the unique system of the first orthonormal trigonometric polynomials with respect to μ, and $\{\Phi_n\}$ be the unique system of the monic orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle with respect to μ, $\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_n$, $\pi+\theta_1, \pi+\theta_2, \ldots, \pi+\theta_n$ be $2n$ simple zeros of σ_n and $\theta_1', \theta_2', \ldots, \theta_n'$, $\pi+\theta_1', \pi+\theta_2', \ldots, \pi+\theta_n'$ be $2n$ simple zeros of π_n with $\theta_j, \theta_j' \in [0, \pi)$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then*

$$\begin{vmatrix} \dfrac{\Phi_{2n-1}(e^{i\theta_k'})}{e^{i(n-1)\theta_k'}\sigma_n(e^{i\theta_k'})} & \dfrac{\Phi_{2n-1}(e^{i\theta_l})}{e^{i(n-1)\theta_l}\pi_n(e^{i\theta_l})} \\ \dfrac{\Phi_{2n}^*(e^{i\theta_s'})}{e^{in\theta_s'}\sigma_n(e^{i\theta_s'})} & \dfrac{\Phi_{2n}^*(e^{i\theta_t})}{e^{in\theta_t}\pi_n(e^{i\theta_t})} \end{vmatrix} = -2a_n b_n i, \tag{5.68}$$

for any $1 \le k, l, s, t \le n$, where a_n, b_n are given in (2.17).

Proof. Note that

$$a_n b_n \Lambda_n = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & (\beta_n + i)b_n \\ \frac{1}{2}a_n^{-1}(1 + \beta_n i) & -\frac{1}{2}b_n^{-1} i \end{vmatrix}, \tag{5.69}$$

then (5.68) follows from Remark 5.5 and Theorem 5.17. □

In the conclusion of the present paper, we again use the determinant Λ_n to express the first orthogonal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle in terms of orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle.

To do so, let

$$\Lambda(n) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta_n + i \\ \frac{1}{2}a_n^{-2}(1 + \beta_n i) & -\frac{1}{2}b_n^{-2} i \end{pmatrix},$$

$$T_n(z) = \begin{pmatrix} a_n \sigma_n(z) \\ b_n \pi_n(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$U_n(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z^{-n+1}\Phi_{2n-1}(z) \\ \kappa_{2n}^2 z^{-n}\Phi_{2n}^*(z) \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\Lambda(n)$ is called connection matrix. Then $\Lambda_n = \det\big(\Lambda(n)\big)$ and

$$U_n(z) = \Lambda(n) T_n(z)$$

holds for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\Lambda_n \neq 0$ then $\Lambda(n)$ is invertible. Hence,

$$T_n(z) = \Lambda^{-1}(n) U_n(z)$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Lambda^{-1}(n)$ is the inverse of $\Lambda(n)$. Explicitly,

$$\begin{cases} a_n \sigma_n(z) = \frac{1}{2} z^{-n} [2\Lambda_n^{-1} z \Phi_{2n-1}(z) + (1 - \beta_n i)\Phi_{2n}^*(z)] \\ b_n \pi_n(z) = -\frac{1}{4} z^{-n} [2\Lambda_n^{-1} a_n^{-2}(1 + \beta_n i) z \Phi_{2n-1}(z) - b_n^{-2} \Phi_{2n}^*(z)] \end{cases}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Lambda_n = -\frac{1}{2}[a_n^{-2}(1 + \beta_n^2) + b_n^{-2}]i$, and a_n, b_n, β_n are given in (2.17).

References

[1] A. I. Aptekarev, *Sharp constants for rational approximations of analytic functions*, Sbornik Math. **193** (2002), 3-72.

[2] M. J. Cantero, L. Moral, and L. Velázquez, *Five-diagonal matrices and zeros of orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle*, Linear Algebra Appl. **362** (2003), 29-56.

[3] P. Deift, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Random Matrices: A Riemann-Hilbert Approach*, Courant Lecture Notes in Math. 3, American Mathematical Society, Providence RI, 2000.

[4] P. Deift, S. Venakides, and X. Zhou, *New results in small dispersion KdV by an extension of the steepest descent method for Riemann-Hilbert problems*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **1997**, no. 6, (1997), 286-299.

[5] P. Deift and X. Zhou, *A steepest descent method for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems, Asyptotics for the MKdV equation*, Ann. Math. **137** (1993), 295-368.

[6] P. Deift and X. Zhou, *Asyptotics for the Painlevé II equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **48** (1995), 277-337.

[7] J. Du, *On the numerical solution for singular integral equations with Hilbert kernel*, Chinese J. Num. Math. Appl. **11** (3) (1989), 9-27.

[8] J. Du, H. Han, G. Jin, *On trigonometric and paratrigonometric interpolation*, J. Approx. Theory **134** (2004), 74-99.

[9] Z. Du, *A semi-conjugate matrix boundary value problem for general orthogonal polynomials on an arbitrary smooth Jordan curve*, Acta Math. Sci. **28B** (2) (2008), 401-407.

[10] Z. Du, J. Du *Riemann-Hilbert approach to strong asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle*, Chinese Ann. Math. **27A** (5) (2006), 701-718; aslo in Chinses J. Contemp. Math. **27** (4) (2006), 443-462.

[11] Z. Du, G. Guo *A special class of orthogonal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle and their zeros*, Preprint, Wuhan Univ., 2006.

[12] F. D. Gakhov, *Boundary Value Problems*, 2nd edition, Dover, New York, 1990.

[13] L. Golinskii and V. Totik, *Orthogonal polynomials: from Jacobi to Simon*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, **76**. 2, (2007), 821-874.

[14] A. Ya. Khinchin, *Continued Fractions*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1964.

[15] A. N. Khovanskii, *The Application of Continued Fractions and Their Generalizations to Problems in Approximation Theory*, Translated by Peter Wynn. Noordhoff, Groningen, 1963.

[16] R. Killip and I. Nenciu, *CMV: The unitary analogue of Jacobi matrices*, to appear in Comm. Pure Appl. Math. .

[17] A. B. J. Kuijlaars, *Riemann-Hilbert analysis for orthogonal polynomials*, In: W. Koelink, W. Van Assche eds., Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, Berlin, **1817** (2003), 167-210.

[18] Y. Last and B. Simon, *The essential spectrum of Schrödinger, Jacobi, and CMV operators*, to appear in J. d'Analyse Math. .

[19] L. -C. Li, *Some remarks on CMV matrices and dressing orbits*, Preprint, 2005.

[20] J. Lu, *Boundary Value Problems for Analytic Functions*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1993.

[21] A. Martínez-Finkelshtein, K. T. -R. McLaughlin, E. B. Saff, *Szegö orthogonal polynomials with respect to an analytic weight: canonical representation and strong asymptotics*, Constr. Approx. **24** (2006), 319-363.

[22] I. N. Muskhelishvili, *Singular Integral Equations*, 2nd edition, Dover, New York, 1992.

[23] I. Nenciu, *CMV matrices in random matrix theory and integrable systems: A survey*, to appear in J. Phys. A.

[24] B. Simon, *OPUC on one foot*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **42** (2005), 431-460.

[25] B. Simon, *Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle, Part 1: Classical Theory*, AMS Colloquium Series, Vol. 54, American Mathematical Society, Providence RI, 2005.
[26] B. Simon, *Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle, Part 2: Spectral Theory*, AMS Colloquium Series, Vol. 54, American Mathematical Society, Providence RI, 2005.
[27] B. Simon, *CMV marices: Five years after*, Preprint, 2006.
[28] H. Stahl and V. Totik, *General Orthogonal Polynomials*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.
[29] T. Stieltjes, *Recherches sur les fractions continues*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Univ. Toulouse **8** (1894-1895), J76-J122; ibid. **9**, A5-A47.
[30] G. Szegö, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence R.I., 1939; 3rd edition, 1967.
[31] V. Totik, *Orthogonal polynomials*, Surveys in Approximation Theory: A Free Electronic Collection of Surveys, Vol. **1** (2005), 70-125.
http://www.math.technion.ac.il/sat/papers/3/3.pdf
[32] H. S. Wall, *Analytic Theory of Continued Fractions*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1948; AMS Chelsea, Providence R.I., 2000.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China
E-mail address: jydu@whu.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, China
E-mail address: zhdu80@126.com